

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**WO**

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Isiah Hill,	)	No. CV-10-1339-PHX-PGR (LOA)
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	<b>ORDER</b>
vs.	)	
	)	
William White, et al.,	)	
	)	
Respondents.	)	
	)	

---

On June 25, 2010, Petitioner filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. (Doc. 1) Petitioner challenges his judgment of conviction entered in the Maricopa County Superior Court, matter # CR 2006-009614-001DT, on December 13, 2007 for two counts of conspiracy to illegally control an enterprise and one count each of conspiracy to commit burglary, use of an electronic communication in a drug-related transaction, kidnaping, illegal control of an enterprise, attempted child prostitution, and pandering. Petitioner presents five grounds for relief. (Doc. 1)


On September 1, 2010, the Court granted Petitioner *in forma pauperis* status and ordered Respondents to file an answer to the Petition within 40 days of the date of service. (Doc. 9) Accordingly, Respondents' answer is not yet due. Between September 7 and 22, 2010, Petitioner filed several motions: Motion for Release Order, Amended Motion for Release Order, Motion for Specific/Special Action, Motion for Summary Judgment, and Motion to Expedite Motion for Summary Judgment. (Docs. 12, 14, 15, 16 and 17, respectively)

1           Petitioner's pending motions reiterate the claims raised in his § 2254 Petition, argue  
2 that Petitioner is in custody illegally, and request that the Court grant Petitioner the relief  
3 requested in his Petition. Petitioner's § 2254 Petition is not yet fully briefed and, thus, is not  
4 ripe for review. Moreover, the Court will consider the Petition itself once briefing is completed  
5 and Petitioner's separate motions requesting the same relief are premature.

6           Accordingly,

7           **IT IS ORDERED** that Petitioner's Motion for Release Order, Amended Motion for  
8 Release Order, Motion for Specific/Special Action, Motion for Summary Judgment, and Motion  
9 to Expedite Motion for Summary Judgment, docs. 12, 14, 15, 16 and 17, respectively, are  
10 **DENIED**, without prejudice, as premature.

11           DATED this 24<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2010.

12  
13             
14           Paul G. Rosenblatt  
15           United States District Judge