

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

**WO**

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Isiah Hill,

Petitioner,

vs.

William White, et al.,

Respondents.

No. CV-10-1339-PHX-PGR (LOA)

ORDER


Having reviewed *de novo* the Magistrate Judge Anderson’s Report and Recommendation in light of the petitioner’s objections thereto (Doc. 28), the Court finds that the Magistrate Judge correctly concluded that the petitioner’s motions for entry of default, summary judgment, and other relief based on the respondents’ failure to answer (Docs. 21-24) are meritless at this time because the respondents currently have until November 24, 2010 in which to file their answer to the petitioner’s habeas petition. Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation (Doc. 27) is accepted and adopted by the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the petitioner’s Motion to Request Summary Judgment F.R.C.P. 56(c)(A) - with Request to be Expedited ... (Doc.

1 21), Petitioner's Reply" Move for Dismissal of #CR 2006-009614-001 with  
2 Prejudice/Expedited Release (Doc. 22), Petitioner[s] Motion to Expedite his  
3 Reply (Doc. 23), and the petitioner's Motion for Default Judgement ... with  
4 Request to be Expedited ... (Doc. 24) are all denied.

5 DATED this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of November, 2010.

6  
7   
8 Paul G. Rosenblatt  
United States District Judge