1	wo
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
8	Leanna Smith, ) No. CV 10-01632-PHX-FJM
9	Plaintiff, ) ORDER
10	vs.
11	Barrow Neurological Institute, et al.,
12	Defendants.
13	
14	We have before us plaintiff's motion to file under seal her reply in support of
15	plaintiff's motion to strike Exhibit "A" (doc. 323). No response has been filed. A party
16	seeking to seal records must provide compelling reasons which outweigh the general history
17	of access and public policies favoring disclosure. Pintos v. Pacific Creditors Ass'n, 605 F.3d
18	665, 679 (9th Cir. 2010).
19	Plaintiff requests the sealing of her reply because it contains and discusses evidence
20	and exhibits that consist of juvenile court records, police reports, and Child Protective
21	Services (CPS) reports. Public policy favors the protection of minors' privacy. Indeed,
22	juvenile court records and proceedings are generally confidential. See, e.g., A.R.S. §§ 8-
23	208(F), 8-537(A). Records relating to investigations conducted by CPS are confidential.
24	A.R.S. § 41-1959(A). Therefore, compelling reasons exist for sealing plaintiff's motion.
25	IT IS ORDERED GRANTING plaintiff's motion to file her reply under seal (doc.
26	323).
27	DATED this 14 <sup>th</sup> day of January, 2013.
28	Frederick T Martane
	Frederick J. Martone
	United States District Judge