

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

XCentric Ventures, LLC, an Arizona
limited corporation, and Jaburg & Wilk,
P.C., a professional corporation,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

Shawn Richeson,

Defendant.

No. CV 10-1931-PHX-NVW

ORDER

Before the Court are Plaintiffs’ Motion to Strike Defendant Shawn Richeson’s Proposed 2 Page Trial Exhibit (Doc. 29) and Motion to Strike Defendant Shawn Richeson’s Motion for Rule 11(c) Sanctions Against Maria Speth and Jaburg & Wilk, P.C. (Doc. 30). Proposed trial exhibits are filed with the Clerk of the Court, so Plaintiffs’ Motion to Strike Defendant Shawn Richeson’s Proposed 2 Page Trial Exhibit (Doc. 29) will be granted for that reason only. The Court does not decide at this time whether Defendant’s proposed exhibit may be filed for a proper purpose.

The Motion to Strike Defendant Shawn Richeson’s Motion for Rule 11(c) Sanctions Against Maria Speth and Jaburg & Wilk, P.C. (Doc. 30) is improper itself, as it is really a substantive response to Defendant’s Motion for Sanctions Against Maria Speth and Jaburg & Wilk, P.C. (Doc. 27). LRCiv 7.2(m)(1).

1 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike Defendant Shawn
2 Richeson's Proposed 2 Page Trial Exhibit (Doc. 29) is granted, and Doc. 28 is stricken,
3 without prejudice to any proper future use of the exhibit.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Motion to Strike Defendant Shawn Richeson's
5 Motion for Rule 11(c) Sanctions Against Maria Speth and Jaburg & Wilk, P.C. (Doc. 30) is
6 denied, without prejudice to Plaintiffs filing a response to the Motion for Rule 11(c)
7 Sanctions (Doc. 27).

8 Dated: October 1, 2010.

9
10 
11 _____
12 Neil V. Wake
13 United States District Judge
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28