

1 **WO**

2

3

4

5

6

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

7

8

9

Keone Labatad,

)

No. CIV 10-2619-PHX-ROS (DKD)

10

Plaintiff,

)

11

vs.

)

ORDER

12

Corrections Corporation of America, et al.,

)

13

Defendants.

)

14

15

This matter arises on Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Counsel (Second Request)

16

(Doc. 37). Plaintiff's first request for the appointment of counsel was denied March 3, 2011

17

(Doc. 24).

18

Plaintiff has again failed to demonstrated a likelihood of success on the merits, and has

19

not shown that he is experiencing difficulty in litigating this case because of the complexity of

20

the issues involved. After reviewing the file, and Defendants' Response in Opposition to

21

Plaintiff's Motion (Doc. 41), the Court determines that this case does not present exceptional

22

circumstances requiring the appointment of counsel.

23

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED denying Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of

24

Counsel (Doc. 37).

25

DATED this 1st day of June, 2011.

26



27

David K. Duncan
United States Magistrate Judge

28