

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**WO**

**RP**

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Larry Lee Moore,  
Plaintiff,  
vs.  
Richard Scott, et al.,  
Defendants.

) No. CV 11-198-PHX-GMS (JRI)

) **ORDER**

On January 28, 2011, Plaintiff Larry Lee Moore, who is confined in the Arizona State Prison Complex-Douglas, filed a *pro se* civil rights Complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (Doc. 1). Plaintiff has not paid the \$350.00 civil action filing fee, but has filed an Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (Doc. 3) and a “Certified Statement Of Account” (Doc. 4). The Court will deny Plaintiff’s Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* and will dismiss this action without prejudice.

**I. Three Strikes Provision 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g)**

Title 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) provides:

In no event shall a prisoner bring a civil action or appeal a judgment in a civil action or proceeding under this section if the prisoner has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury.

1 The constitutionality of this provision has been upheld by the Ninth Circuit Court of  
2 Appeals. Andrews v. King, 398 F.3d 1113, 1123 (9th Cir. 2005); Rodriguez v. Cook, 169  
3 F.3d 1176, 1181 (9th Cir. 1999).

4 Plaintiff Larry Lee Moore has filed numerous lawsuits in this District and in other  
5 Districts while he has been a prisoner. At least four of his prior actions were dismissed for  
6 failure to state a claim. See Moore v. Hindmarch, CV-09-1461-PHX-GMS (JRI) (D. Ariz.  
7 Judgment of dismissal filed January 7, 2010); Moore v. Arpaio, CV-09-1492-PHX-GMS  
8 (JRI) (D. Ariz. Judgment of dismissal filed March 1, 2010); Moore v. Futrell, CV-09-2093-  
9 PHX-GMS (JRI) (D. Ariz. Judgment of dismissal filed March 5, 2010); and Moore v.  
10 Maricopa County Sheriff's Office, CV-09-2232-PHX-GMS (JRI) (D. Ariz. Judgment of  
11 dismissal filed April 5, 2010). Therefore, Plaintiff Larry Lee Moore may not bring any civil  
12 action without complete pre-payment of the \$350.00 filing fee unless he is in imminent  
13 danger of serious physical injury. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).


14 **II. No Imminent Danger of Serious Physical Injury**

15 Plaintiff Larry Lee Moore does not allege that he is in imminent danger of serious  
16 physical injury, and the facts presented by Plaintiff would not support such an allegation.  
17 Accordingly, Plaintiff Larry Lee Moore is not entitled to proceed *in forma pauperis*, and this  
18 action must be dismissed without prejudice for failure to pre-pay the \$350.00 filing fee. If  
19 Plaintiff Larry Lee Moore wishes to reassert these claims in the future, he must pre-pay the  
20 entire \$350.00 filing fee when he files his new action.

21 **IT IS ORDERED:**

- 22 (1) Plaintiff's Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (Doc. 3) is **denied**.  
23 (2) This action is **dismissed** pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) **without prejudice**  
24 to Plaintiff filing a complaint in a new case accompanied by the full \$350.00 filing fee.  
25 (3) The Clerk of Court **must enter judgment** accordingly and **close** this file.

26 DATED this 4th day of February, 2011.

27   
28 \_\_\_\_\_  
G. Murray Snow  
United States District Judge