

1 **WO**

2

3

4

5

6

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

7

FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

8

9

Ronald L. Vertin,

)

No. CV-11-1167-PHX-GMS

10

Plaintiff,

)

ORDER

11

vs.

)

12

The State of Arizona; Katrin M. Nelson,

Arizona Assistant Attorney General;

13

Charles Johnson, Arizona Assistant

Attorney General; Detective Jennifer

14

Pinniow; Detective J.W. Smith,

Employees of the City of Avondale,

15

Arizona; and the City of Avondale, a

Political Subdivision of the State of

16

Arizona,

)

17

Defendants.

)

18

19

20

Plaintiff commenced this action on June 13, 2011 by filing a Complaint. On

21

September 19, 2011, Plaintiff filed an Amended Complaint. Then on October 13, 2011,

22

Plaintiff filed yet another Amended Complaint (“Second Amended Complaint”). None of

23

the Defendants have been served in this action within the time required by Rule 4(m) of the

24

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

25

Pursuant to Rule 15 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, “[a] party may amend

26

its pleading once as a matter of course In all other cases a party may amend its pleading

27

only with the opposing party’s written consent or the court’s leave.” Plaintiff did not file a

28

motion for leave to amend his complaint. Accordingly,

