

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

**WO**

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Arcus Equity II, LLC,  
Plaintiff,  
vs.  
Mission Capital Advisors, LLC, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. CV-11-1453-PHX-PGR

ORDER

In a complaint filed on July 22, 2011, the plaintiff alleges that the Court has diversity of citizenship jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1). Having reviewed the complaint upon the reassignment of this action, the Court finds that the jurisdictional allegations in the complaint are insufficient as a matter of law to establish the existence of subject matter jurisdiction. The Court will therefore require the plaintiff to file an amended complaint properly stating a jurisdictional basis for this action. See 28 U.S.C. § 1653; see also, Smith v. McCullough, 270 U.S. 456, 459, 46 S.Ct. 338, 339 (1926) ("The established rule is that a plaintiff, suing in federal court, must show in his pleading, affirmatively and distinctly, the existence of whatever is essential to federal jurisdiction, and, if he does not do so, the court, on having the defect

1 called to its attention or on discovering the same, must dismiss the case, unless  
2 the defect be corrected by amendment.")

3 The jurisdictional allegation concerning the citizenship of plaintiff Arcus  
4 Equity II, LLC, which is merely that it is an Arizona limited liability company with  
5 its principal place of business in Maricopa County, Arizona, and the jurisdictional  
6 allegation concerning the citizenship of defendant Mission Capital Advisors, LLC,  
7 which is merely that it is a Florida limited liability company located in West Palm  
8 Beach, Florida, with offices in New York, NY, Austin, TX, Newport Beach,  
9 CAROLINA, and Palm Beach Gardens, FL, are facially deficient as a matter of  
10 law inasmuch as the citizenship of a limited liability company for purposes of  
11 § 1332 is the citizenship of each of its members. Johnson v. Columbia Properties  
12 Anchorage, LP, 437 F.3d 894, 899 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2006) ("Notwithstanding LLCs'  
13 corporate traits, ... every circuit that has addressed the question treats them like  
14 partnerships for the purposes of diversity jurisdiction. ... We therefore join our  
15 sister circuits and hold that, like a partnership, an LLC is a citizen of every state of  
16 which its owners/members are citizens.") Since the complaint fails to set forth the  
17 citizenship of the members of the plaintiff and the members of defendant Mission  
18 Capital Advisors, the Court will require the plaintiff to specifically identify in its  
19 amended complaint each LLC member by name, specifically allege the type of  
20 business entity that any non-individual member is, and affirmatively allege the  
21 state of citizenship of each member.

22 The plaintiff is advised that its failure to timely file an amended complaint  
23 that cures the pleading deficiency noted in this Order will result in the dismissal of  
24 this action for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Therefore,

25 ///

1 IT IS ORDERED that the complaint is dismissed for lack of subject matter  
2 jurisdiction.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the plaintiff shall file an amended  
4 complaint properly stating a jurisdictional basis for this action no later than  
5 **September 12, 2011.**<sup>1</sup>

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties' Stipulation to Extend Time to  
7 Respond to Complaint (Doc. 9) is denied without prejudice as moot.

8 DATED this 30<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2011.

9  
10   
11 Paul G. Rosenblatt  
United States District Judge

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24 \_\_\_\_\_  
1

25 The plaintiff is advised that the complete capitalization of a party's name  
26 in the caption of any document filed with the Court violates LRCiv 7.1(a)(3) unless  
that party's name is completely capitalized in its normal use.