

1 **WO**

2

3

4

5

6

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

7

8

9

Thomas E. Russell,

) No. CV 11-01463-PHX-FJM

10

Plaintiff,

) **ORDER**

11

vs.

12

OneWest Bank, FSB, et al.,

13

Defendants.

14

15

16

We have before us plaintiff's motion for order restraining sale of residential real property (doc. 67) and defendants' response (doc. 70). A trustee's sale of plaintiff's home is scheduled for May 2, 2012. Plaintiff seeks a preliminary injunction under Rule 65(a), Fed. R. Civ. P., preventing the sale.

20

On October 20, 2011, we denied plaintiff's motion to remand and granted Shapiro, Van Ess & Sherman's motion to dismiss (doc. 30). In the same order, we granted the motion to dismiss of defendants OneWest Bank, FSB, Deutsche Bank National Trust Company as Trustee for IndyMac INDX Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-AR31, and Merrill Lynch Mortgage Lending, Inc. ("OneWest defendants"). We later denied plaintiff's motion for reconsideration (doc. 34) and entered final judgment in favor of the One West defendants (doc. 35). Pursuant to stipulation, the remaining party was dismissed on April 16, 2012 (doc. 66). Plaintiff filed a notice of appeal with the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit on November 18, 2011 (doc. 36).

28

1 "Once a notice of appeal is filed, the district court is divested of jurisdiction over the
2 matters being appealed." Natural Res. Def. Council v. Sw. Marine Inc., 242 F.3d 1163, 1166
3 (9th Cir. 2001). But we "retain[] jurisdiction during the pendency of an appeal to act to
4 preserve the status quo." Id. This rule applies where it is necessary to "supervise[] a
5 continuing course of conduct and where as new facts develop additional supervisory action
6 by the court is required." Hoffman v. Beer Drivers & Salesmen's Local Union No. 888, 536
7 F.2d 1268, 1276 (9th Cir. 1976). This exception has been codified in Rule 62(c), Fed. R.
8 Civ. P., which allows us, while an appeal is pending from a final judgment that grants,
9 dissolves, or denies an injunction, to "suspend, modify, restore, or grant an injunction on
10 terms for bond or other terms that secure the opposing party's rights." This Rule "grants the
11 district court no broader power than it has always inherently possessed to preserve the status
12 quo during the pendency of an appeal." Natural Res. Def. Council, 242 F.3d at 1166. "It
13 does not restore jurisdiction to the district court to adjudicate anew the merits of the case
14 after either party has invoked its right of appeal and jurisdiction has passed to an appellate
15 court." McClatchy Newspapers v. Central Valley Typographical Union No. 46, 686 F.2d
16 731, 734 (9th Cir. 1982).

17 Assessing plaintiff's motion under Rule 62(c), we conclude that an injunction is not
18 appropriate here. Plaintiff's first amended complaint sought relief in the form of damages
19 and a judgment that he owns the property in fee simple and defendants have no adverse
20 interest in it. Seeking to quiet title is not equivalent to seeking injunctive relief. Our final
21 judgment did not grant, dissolve, or deny an injunction. Moreover, an injunction is not
22 necessary here to preserve the status quo. There is no continuing course of conduct which
23 needs to be monitored. There are no new facts created by the parties as the days pass. Cf.
24 Hoffman, 536 F.2d at 1276.

25 Jurisdiction over the judgment has passed to the appellate court, and no exceptions to
26 this rule apply. No specific statutory authority allows us to retain jurisdiction over this case,
27 and granting a preliminary injunction will not assist the court of appeals in the exercise of
28 its jurisdiction. Davis v. United States, 667 F.2d 822, 824 (9th Cir. 1982).

