

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Linda Theresa Bradley,
Petitioner,
vs.
Charles L. Ryan, et al.,
Respondents.

No. CV-11-01810-PHX-NVW
ORDER

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) of Magistrate Judge David K. Duncan (Doc. 27) regarding petitioner’s Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (Doc. 1). The R&R recommends that the Petition be denied and dismissed with prejudice. The Magistrate Judge advised the parties that they had fourteen days to file objections to the R&R. (R&R at 5 (citing 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)). Petitioner’s Objection was filed November 15, 2012 (Doc. 30).

The Court has considered the Objection and the R&R. The Court will accept the R&R and dismiss the Petition. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (stating that the district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate”).


IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge (Doc. 27) is accepted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court enter judgment denying and dismissing petitioner’s Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (Doc. 1) with prejudice. The Clerk shall terminate this action.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Having considered the issuance of a Certificate of Appealability from the order denying Petitioner's Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus, the Court FINDS: Certificate of Appealability and leave to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal are **Denied**. Petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.

Dated this 16th day of November, 2012.



Neil V. Wake
United States District Judge