

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

WO

MDR

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Boy White,)	No. CV 11-2126-PHX-GMS (MEA)
Petitioner,)	ORDER
vs.)	
Director Charles Ryan, et al.,)	
Respondents.)	

I. Procedural History

On August 12, 2011, Petitioner Boy White, who is confined in the Arizona State Prison Complex-Tucson in Tucson, Arizona, filed a *pro se* Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 and an Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* in the Tucson Division of the United States District Court for the District of Arizona, White v. Ryan, 11-CV-500-TUC-FRZ (DTF). In an August 24, 2011 Order, the Court denied the incomplete Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* and gave Petitioner 30 days to either pay the filing fee or file a complete Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis*.

On September 12, 2011, Petitioner filed a second Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis*. In a September 21, 2011 Order, the Court denied the second Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* because it too was incomplete. The Court gave Petitioner 30 days to either pay the filing fee or file a complete Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis*.

On September 30, 2011, Petitioner filed a third Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* and a Certified Statement of Account from the Arizona Department of Corrections

1 Inmate Trust Accounts Office. In an October 11, 2011 Order, the Court denied the third
2 Application to Proceed because Petitioner had more than \$25.00 in his inmate account. See
3 LRCiv. 3.5(b). The Court gave Petitioner 30 days to pay the \$5.00 filing fee.

4 On October 21, 2011, Petitioner paid the filing fee. In an October 28, 2011 Order, the
5 Court ordered the Clerk of Court to transfer the case to the Phoenix Division, pursuant to
6 Local Rule of Civil Procedure 5.1(b), because Petitioner was convicted in Maricopa County
7 Superior Court. See LRCiv. 77.1(a). The case was randomly assigned to the undersigned
8 judge.

9 **II. Petition**

10 Petitioner was convicted in Maricopa County Superior Court, case #2006-030927-
11 001-SE, of one count of theft and two counts of burglary. He was sentenced to an 11-year
12 term of imprisonment for the theft conviction and 4-year terms of probation for the burglary
13 convictions. In his Petition, Petitioner names Director Charles Ryan as Respondent and the
14 Arizona Attorney General as an Additional Respondent.

15 Petitioner raises three grounds for relief: (1) he received ineffective assistance of
16 counsel; (2) he did not voluntarily and intelligently enter into the plea agreement; and (3) his
17 “mental state, after being incarcerated for two years, was diagnosed as schizophrenic.”
18 Petitioner alleges that he has presented these grounds for relief to the Arizona Court of
19 Appeals. The Court will require Respondents to answer the Petition. 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a).

20 **III. Warnings**

21 **A. Address Changes**

22 Petitioner must file and serve a notice of a change of address in accordance with Rule
23 83.3(d) of the Local Rules of Civil Procedure. Petitioner must not include a motion for other
24 relief with a notice of change of address. Failure to comply may result in dismissal of this
25 action.

26 **B. Copies**

27 Petitioner must serve Respondents, or counsel if an appearance has been entered, a
28 copy of every document that he files. Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(a). Each filing must include a

1 certificate stating that a copy of the filing was served. Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(d). Also, Petitioner
2 must submit an additional copy of every filing for use by the Court. LRCiv 5.4. Failure to
3 comply may result in the filing being stricken without further notice to Petitioner.

4 **C. Possible Dismissal**

5 If Petitioner fails to timely comply with every provision of this Order, including these
6 warnings, the Court may dismiss this action without further notice. See Ferdik v. Bonzelet,
7 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992) (a district court may dismiss an action for failure to
8 comply with any order of the Court).

9 **IT IS ORDERED:**

10 (1) The Clerk of Court must serve a copy of the Petition (Doc. 1) and this Order
11 on the Respondent and the Attorney General of the State of Arizona by certified mail
12 pursuant to Rule 4, Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases.

13 (2) Respondents must answer the Petition within 40 days of the date of service.
14 Respondents must not file a dispositive motion in place of an answer but may file an answer
15 limited to relevant affirmative defenses, including but not limited to, statute of limitations,
16 procedural bar, or non-retroactivity. If the answer is limited to affirmative defenses, only
17 those portions of the record relevant to those defenses need be attached to the answer.
18 Failure to set forth an affirmative defense in an answer may be treated as a waiver of the
19 defense. Day v. McDonough, 547 U.S. 198, 209-11 (2006). If not limited to affirmative
20 defenses, the answer must fully comply with all of the requirements of Rule 5 of the Rules
21 Governing Section 2254 Cases.

22 (3) Petitioner may file a reply within 30 days from the date of service of the
23 answer.

24
25
26
27
28

