WO IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA United States of America, No. CV-11-02171-PHX-GMS (ECV) No. CR-10-1431-PHX-GMS Plaintiff/Respondent, **ORDER** v. Luis Arturo Alonzo, Defendant/Petitioner. 

Pending before the Court are Petitioner's Motion to Vacate, Set Aside or Correct Sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2225 and United States Magistrate Judge Edward C. Voss's Report and Recommendation ("R&R"). Docs. 1, 10. The R&R recommends that the Court deny the Motion. Doc. 10 at 10. The Magistrate Judge advised the parties that they had fourteen days to file objections to the R&R and that failure to file timely objections could be considered a waiver of the right to obtain review of the R&R. *Id.* at 10 (citing 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b), 72; *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003)).

The parties did not file objections, which relieves the Court of its obligation to review the R&R. *See Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d at 1121; *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) ("[Section 636(b)(1)] does not . . . require any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection."); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3) ("The district judge must determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge's disposition that has been properly objected to."). The Court has nonetheless reviewed the R&R and finds that it is well-

taken. The Court will accept the R&R and deny the Motion. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)
(stating that the district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the
findings or recommendations made by the magistrate"); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3) ("The
district judge may accept, reject, or modify the recommended disposition; receive further
evidence; or return the matter to the magistrate judge with instructions.").
IT IS ORDERED:
1. Magistrate Judge Voss's R&R (Doc. 10) is <b>accepted</b> .
2. Petitioner's Motion to Vacate, Set Aside or Correct Sentence (Doc. 1) is
denied.
3. The Clerk of Court shall <b>terminate</b> this action.
4. The docket shall reflect that the Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
§ 1915(a)(3) and Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure 24(a)(3)(A), that any appeal of
this decision would not be taken in good faith.
Dated this 26th day of February, 2013.

A. Murray Snow
United States District Judge

- 2 -