1 WO KM 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 7 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 8 9 Carl David Fulton, No. CV 12-149-PHX-GMS (MHB) 10 Plaintiff, **ORDER** 11 VS. 12 Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms,) and Explosives, et al., 13 Defendants. 14 15 Plaintiff Carl David Fulton, who is confined in the Arizona State Prison Complex-16 Yuma, has filed a pro se civil rights Complaint pursuant to Bivens v. Six Unknown Named 17 Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics, 403 U.S. 388 (1971), and an Application to Proceed 18 In Forma Pauperis. In a February 28, 2012 Order, the Court granted the Application to 19 Proceed and dismissed the Complaint with leave to amend. On March 13, 2012, Plaintiff 20 filed a First Amended Complaint. The First Amended Complaint was not signed. 21 On May 3, 2012, the Court issued an Order allowing Plaintiff to submit a completed 22 and signed signature certificate, using a certificate form included with the Order, certifying 23 that Plaintiff's signature on the certificate would serve as an original signature on his First 24 Amended Complaint for the purposes of Rule 3.4 of the Local Rules of Civil Procedure and 25 Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 26 In the May 3rd Order, the Court granted Plaintiff 30 days to file the signature 27 certificate and explicitly warned Plaintiff that failure to comply with the Order would result in dismissal of this action. 28

On May 8, 2012, Plaintiff filed a Notice of Change of Address. On May 9, 2012, the Clerk of Court re-sent the May 3rd Order to Plaintiff at his new address.

The Court did not receive a signed signature certificate or any other pleadings from Plaintiff. On June 25, 2012, the Clerk of Court entered a Judgment of dismissal without prejudice because Plaintiff failed to comply with the May 3rd Order within 30 days of the date the Order was sent to Plaintiff at his new address. To date, the Court has not received a signature certificate from Plaintiff. On July 2, 2012, Plaintiff filed a Notice of Appeal.

Plaintiff also filed, on July 2, 2012, a "Motion to Request Reason(s) for Decision/Striking of the First Amended Complaint by Petitioner." The Court will grant the Motion to the extent that this Order explains the reason for its dismissal of this action.

**IT IS ORDERED** that Plaintiff's July 2, 2012 Motion (Doc. 14) is **granted** to the extent that this Order explains the Court's dismissal of this action. The Clerk of Court must forward a copy of this Order to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

DATED this 10th day of September, 2012.

A. Murray Snow
United States District Judge