

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Jesus Jamie Aguilera-Guerra,
Petitioner,
vs.
Charles L. Ryan, et al.,
Respondents.

No. CV-12-00258-NVW-PHX

**ORDER
AND
DENIAL OF CERTIFICATE OF
APPEALABILITY**

Pending before the court is the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) of Magistrate Judge Bridget S. Bade (Doc. 10) regarding petitioner’s Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (Doc. 1). The R&R finds that the Petition is untimely and recommends that it be denied and dismissed with prejudice. The Magistrate Judge advised the parties that they had fourteen days to file objections to the R&R. (R&R at P. 21 (citing 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)). Petitioner filed objections on March 13, 2013 (Doc. 17).

The court has considered the objections and reviewed the Report and Recommendation de novo. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (stating that the court must make a de novo determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objections are made). The court agrees with the Magistrate Judge’s determinations, accepts the recommended decision within the meaning of Rule 72(b), Fed. R. Civ. P., and overrules Petitioner’s objections. *See* 28


1 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (stating that the district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole
2 or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate”).

3 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Report and Recommendation of the
4 Magistrate Judge (Doc. 10) is accepted.

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court enter judgment denying
6 and dismissing petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
7 § 2254 (Doc. 1) with prejudice. The Clerk shall terminate this action.

8 Having considered the issuance of a Certificate of Appealability from the order
9 denying Petitioner’s Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus, a Certificate of Appealability
10 and leave to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal are denied because of plain procedural
11 bar and because Petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a
12 constitutional right has been made.

13 Dated this 14th day of March, 2013.

14
15 

16 _____
17 Neil V. Wake
18 United States District Judge
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28