Maduena v. Ryan et	al I	Doc. 9
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITE	D STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
8	Genaro Lopez Maduena,) No. CV-12-0281-PHX-FJM
9	Petitioner,) ORDER
10	vs.	
11	75.	
12	Charles L. Ryan, et al.,	
13	Respondents.	
14		
15	The court has before it petitioner's petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28	
16	U.S.C. § 2254 (doc. 1), respondents' response (doc. 6), and petitioner's reply (doc. 7). We	
17	also have before us the report and recommendation from the United States Magistrate Judge	
18	recommending that the petition be dismissed as untimely (doc. 8). No objection to the report	
19	and recommendation was filed and the time for doing so has expired.	
20	Pursuant to Rule 8(b), Rules Governing § 2254 Cases, we accept the recommended	
21	decision of the United States Magistrate Judge. Therefore, IT IS ORDERED DENYING	
22	AND DISMISSING with prejudice the petition for writ of habeas corpus (doc. 1).	
23	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED DENYING a certificate of appealability and leave	
24	to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> on appeal because dismissal of the habeas petition is justified	
25	by a plain procedural bar and jurists of reason would not find the ruling debatable.	
26	DATED this 21st day of May, 2013.	
27	Frederick J. Martone	
28	Frederick J. Martone	
	Senior United States District Judge	
		Docksto Justin con