

1 **WO**

2

3

4

5

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

6

7

8

9

Daniel VanDaalen,

No. CV 12-642-PHX-RCB (ECV)

10

Plaintiff,

ORDER

11

vs.

12

C.O. III C. Travis, et al.,

13

Defendants.

14

15

In an August 8, 2012 Order, the Court dismissed Plaintiff Daniel VanDaalen’s First Amended Complaint and this action because Plaintiff had failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted. The Clerk of Court entered Judgment on August 8, 2012.

18

On August 22, 2012, Plaintiff filed a “Petition: Am[i]cus Curie” (Doc. 13).¹ Because this case is closed, the Court will deny the “Petition: Am[i]cus Curie.”

20

IT IS ORDERED that the “Petition: Am[i]cus Curie” (Doc. 13) is **denied**. This case must remain **closed**.

22

DATED this 17th day of September, 2012.

23

24

25

Robert C. Broomfield
Senior United States District Judge

26

27

28

¹Although the document was signed by Plaintiff, it appears to have been written in support of Plaintiff by another inmate.