

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Paul Bryan De Los Rios,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
Charles L. Ryan, et al.,  
  
Defendants.

No. CV-12-00839-PHX-GMS  
  
**ORDER**

Pending before the Court are Petitioner’s Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus and United States Magistrate Judge David Duncan’s Report and Recommendation (“R&R”). Docs. 1, 13. The R&R recommends that the Court deny the Petition. Doc. 13 at 7. The Magistrate Judge advised the parties that they had fourteen days to file objections to the R&R and that failure to file timely objections could be considered a waiver of the right to obtain review of the R&R. *Id.* at 7 (citing 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 6a, 6(b), 72; *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003)).


The parties did not file objections, which relieves the Court of its obligation to review the R&R. *See Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d at 1121; *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) (“[Section 636(b)(1)] does not . . . require any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.”); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3) (“The district judge must determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge’s disposition that has been properly objected to.”). The Court has nonetheless reviewed the R&R and finds that it is well-taken. The Court will accept the R&R and denies the Petition. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)

1 (stating that the district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the  
2 findings or recommendations made by the magistrate”); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3) (“The  
3 district judge may accept, reject, or modify the recommended disposition; receive further  
4 evidence; or return the matter to the magistrate judge with instructions.”).

5 **IT IS ORDERED:**

- 6 1. Magistrate Judge Duncan’s R&R (Doc. 13) is **accepted**.
- 7 2. Petitioner’s Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Doc. 1) is **denied and**  
8 **dismissed with prejudice**.
- 9 3. The Clerk of Court shall **terminate** this action.
- 10 4. Pursuant to Rule 11(a) of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, in the  
11 event Petitioner files an appeal, the Court declines to issue a certificate of appealability  
12 because reasonable jurists would not find the Court’s procedural ruling debatable. *See*  
13 *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000).

14 Dated this 20th day of May, 2013.

15   
16 \_\_\_\_\_  
17 G. Murray Snow  
18 United States District Judge  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28