1	wo
2	
3	
4	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
6	
7	Lamar Simmons, ) No. CV 12-01148-PHX-FJM
8	Petitioner, ORDER
9	vs.
10	Charles L. Ryan et al.,
11	Respondents.
12	)
13	,
14	The court has before it Petitioner's Motion for Relief from Judgment (Doc. 41), the
15	Respondents' Response (Doc. 44), and the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation
16	(Doc. 45), to which no objections have been filed.
17	The judgment from which relief is sought was entered over four years ago. The Magistrate
18	Judge concluded that it was untimely under both Rule 60(b)(1) and 60(b)(6), Fed. R. Civ. P.
19	Plaintiff fails to demonstrate how his motion could be construed as timely under the relevant
20	Rule 60 standards. Accordingly, we accept the Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge.
21	(Doc. 45).
22	It is therefore ORDERED DENYING Petitioner's Motion for Relief from Judgment. (Doc.
23	41).
24	DATED this 8 <sup>th</sup> day of January, 2018.
25	
26	Frederick J. Martone
27	Frederick J. Martone
28	Senior United States District Judge