

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

William Phillip Murphy,
Petitioner,
v.
Federal Bureau of Prisons, et al.,
Respondents.

No. CV-12-01390-PHX-GMS

ORDER

Pending before the Court are Petitioner's Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus and United States Magistrate Judge David Duncan's Report and Recommendation ("R&R"). Docs. 5, 12. The R&R recommends that the Court deny and dismiss the Petition with prejudice. Doc. 12 at 3. The Magistrate Judge advised the parties that they had fourteen days to file objections to the R&R and that failure to file timely objections could be considered a waiver of the right to obtain review of the R&R. *Id.* at 3 (citing 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72, 6(a), 6(b); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003)).


The parties did not file objections, which relieves the Court of its obligation to review the R&R. *See Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d at 1121; *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) ("[Section 636(b)(1)] does not . . . require any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection."); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3) ("The district judge must determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge's disposition that has been properly objected to."). The Court has nonetheless reviewed the R&R and finds that it is well-taken. The Court will accept the R&R and deny and dismiss the Petition with prejudice.

1 See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (stating that the district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in
2 whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate”); Fed. R. Civ.
3 P. 72(b)(3) (“The district judge may accept, reject, or modify the recommended
4 disposition; receive further evidence; or return the matter to the magistrate judge with
5 instructions.”).

6 **IT IS ORDERED:**

- 7 1. Magistrate Judge Duncan’s R&R (Doc. 12) is **accepted**.
- 8 2. Petitioner’s Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Doc. 5) is
9 **denied and dismissed with prejudice**.
- 10 3. The Clerk of Court shall **terminate** this action.
- 11 4. Because this case arises under 28 U.S.C. § 2241, no ruling on a certificate
12 of appealability is required.

13 Dated this 28th day of May, 2013.

14 
15 _____
16 G. Murray Snow
17 United States District Judge
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28