1	WO					
2						
3						
4						
5						
6	IN THE UNITED ST	ATES DISTRICT COURT				
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA					
8						
9	John James Parsons,	No. CV 13-0718-PHX-RCB (JFM)				
10	Plaintiff,					
11	VS.	O R D E R				
12	State of Arizona, et al.,					
13	Defendants.					
14						
15	On April 9, 2013, Plaintiff John	James Parsons, who is confined in the Fourth				
16	Avenue Jail in Phoenix, Arizona, filed a	a pro se civil rights Complaint pursuant to 42				
17	U.S.C. § 1983 and an Application to Pro-	oceed In Forma Pauperis. In a May 28, 2013				
18	Order, the Court granted the Applicati	on to Proceed and dismissed the Complaint				
19	because Plaintiff had failed to state a clai	m. The Court gave Plaintiff 30 days to file an				
20	amended complaint that cured the deficier	ncies identified in the Order.				
21	On June 12, 2013, Plaintiff filed hi	s First Amended Complaint (Doc. 10). Plaintiff				
22	has also filed a document entitled "Second	d Attempt to Offer Commissioner Patricia Stark				
23	Affidavit" (Affidavit) (Doc. 9) and a do	ocument entitled "Certified Promissory Money				
24	Note" (Promissory Note) (Doc. 11).	The Court will dismiss the First Amended				
25	Complaint with leave to amend. To the e	extent any relief is requested in the Affidavit or				
26	Promissory Note, ¹ such relief is denied.					
27						
28	¹ Plaintiff did not state why he fi	led a Promissory Note. The Promissory Note				

filed by Plaintiff did not state why he filed a Promissory Note. The Promissory Note filed by Plaintiff states that he will pay to the Clerk of Court "Three Hundred-Fifty Dollars – of 'Money of Account of the United States' as required by law at 31 U.S.C.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

I.

Statutory Screening of Prisoner Complaints

The Court is required to screen complaints brought by prisoners seeking relief against a governmental entity or an officer or an employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The Court must dismiss a complaint or portion thereof if a plaintiff has raised claims that are legally frivolous or malicious, that fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or that seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1), (2).

A pleading must contain a "short and plain statement of the claim *showing* that the pleader is entitled to relief." Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2) (emphasis added). While Rule 8 does not demand detailed factual allegations, "it demands more than an unadorned, thedefendant-unlawfully-harmed-me accusation." *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009). "Threadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action, supported by mere conclusory statements, do not suffice." *Id*.

14 "[A] complaint must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to 'state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." Id. (quoting Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 15 16 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007)). A claim is plausible "when the plaintiff pleads factual 17 content that allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable 18 for the misconduct alleged." Id. "Determining whether a complaint states a plausible 19 claim for relief [is] . . . a context-specific task that requires the reviewing court to draw 20 on its judicial experience and common sense." Id. at 679. Thus, although a plaintiff's 21 specific factual allegations may be consistent with a constitutional claim, a court must 22 assess whether there are other "more likely explanations" for a defendant's conduct. Id. 23 at 681.

24

^{371/}from the time of the official determination of the substance of said money or of UCC 1-201(24) credit money." To the extent that Plaintiff's Promissory Note is an attempt to fulfill his statutory obligation to pay the \$350.00 filing fee, it is not an acceptable form of payment. Such attempts to submit promissory notes in lieu of advancing the statutory fee have failed in other courts. *See, e.g., Gasaway v. Bureau of Prisons*, No. 9:11-CV-1223 (LEK/DEP), 2012 WL 1952594, at *n.9 (N.D.N.Y April 3, 2012); *Banks v. Duckworth*, No. 5:07-CV-214-DCB-MTP, 2008 WL 728926, at *1 (S.D. Miss. Mar. 14, 2008); *Martineau v. Arellano*, No. CV 07-0816-PHX-SMM (JRI), 2007 WL 2043461, at *1 (D.Ariz. July 12, 2007).

But as the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit has instructed, courts must "continue to construe *pro se* filings liberally." *Hebbe v. Pliler*, 627 F.3d 338, 342 (9th Cir. 2010). A "complaint [filed by a *pro se* prisoner] 'must be held to less stringent standards than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers." *Id.* (quoting *Erickson v. Pardus*, 551 U.S. 89, 94 (2007) (*per curiam*)).

6 If the Court determines that a pleading could be cured by the allegation of other 7 facts, a *pro se* litigant is entitled to an opportunity to amend a complaint before dismissal 8 of the action. See Lopez v. Smith, 203 F.3d 1122, 1127-29 (9th Cir. 2000) (en banc). The 9 Court should not, however, advise the litigant how to cure the defects. This type of 10 advice "would undermine district judges' role as impartial decisionmakers." Pliler v. 11 Ford, 542 U.S. 225, 231 (2004); see also Lopez, 203 F.3d at 1131 n.13 (declining to 12 decide whether the court was required to inform a litigant of deficiencies). The Court 13 will dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint for failure to state a claim, but because 14 the First Amended Complaint may possibly be saved by amendment, the Court will 15 dismiss the First Amended Complaint with leave to amend.

16

1

2

3

4

5

II. First Amended Complaint

Plaintiff alleges two counts for violations of his "God Given, U.S. Constitutional
unalienable rights to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness," and the search and seizure
of his property. Plaintiff sues the unnamed director of the Arizona Department of Public
Safety (ADPS), and ADPS Sergeant Simon (#4687), and ADPS Officers Schmidt
(#7035) and Hopkins (#65). Plaintiff seeks the return of his property, or its equivalent in
cash, and \$500,000 in damages.

In Count I of his First Amended Complaint, Plaintiff alleges the following: on January 3, 2012, Plaintiff was stopped, detained, interrogated and arrested by Simon, Schmidt and Hopkins. The officers did not have a warrant for Plaintiff's arrest, and he asserts that he was stopped "for no lawful reason," and that he was "not breaching the peace against any other sovereign flesh and blood human." (Doc. 10 at 3.) Plaintiff was charged "with their statutory rules of the corporation/society of which [he is] not an employee/member of, that being, the State of Arizona corporation." (*Id.*) According to Plaintiff, Defendants violated his "unalienable rights . . . by enforcing the corporate policies against [him], this is training received and approved by the Director of the [ADPS]." (*Id.*)

In Count II of his First Amended Complaint, Plaintiff alleges that on January 3, 2013,² Simon and Schmidt, without a warrant and without Plaintiff's consent, searched and seized Plaintiff's property, namely a 2011 Ford F-250 truck and its contents, as well money and items on Plaintiff's person. Plaintiff again asserts that he "had not breached the peace in the officers' presence" and "they had no complaint from any other sovereign human being." (*Id.*)

11

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Additional Background

According to records available on-line, Plaintiff is being held on criminal charges in Maricopa County Superior Court, cases #CR2012-100178, #CR2012-106265, CR2012-158145 and CR2013-001106. Trial is scheduled in all four cases on September 23, 2013.³ Court records for case #CR2012-100178 reflect that Plaintiff has been charged with dangerous drug and drug paraphernalia violations, a marijuana violation, misconduct involving weapons, and driving under the influence.⁴ The crime date listed for those charges in case #CR2012-100178 is January 3, 2012.⁵

- 19
- 20
- 21

⁵ *Id*.

 ² Plaintiff alleges in Count I that Simon, Schmidt and Hopkins detained and arrested him on January 3, 2012. In Count II, he alleges that Simon and Schmidt searched and seized his property on January 3, 2013, one year later. Thus, it is unclear to the Court whether the events in Counts I and II occurred on the same day and Plaintiff wrote the wrong year in one of his Counts, or if these are two separate incidents that occurred one year apart but involved the very same officers.

^{26 &}lt;sup>3</sup>See http://www.courtminutes.maricopa.gov/docs/Criminal/062013/m5820189.pdf (last visited July 10, 2013).

 ⁴See http://www.superiorcourt.maricopa.gov/docket/CriminalCourtCases/caseInfo.
 asp (case information for CR2013-001106) (last visited July 10, 2013).

1

III. Failure to State a Claim

2 Plaintiff filed his First Amended Complaint on the court-approved form for 3 prisoner civil rights complaints, but he again asserts that this Court has jurisdiction 4 pursuant to the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights, as well as the 5 Declaration of Independence. For the reasons stated in the Court's prior Order dated 6 May 23, 2013, the Court construes the First Amended Complaint as brought pursuant to 7 42. U.S.C. § 1983, which provides a cause of action against persons acting under color of 8 state law who have violated rights guaranteed by the United States Constitution and 9 federal law. See Buckley v. City of Redding, 66 F.3d 188, 190 (9th Cir. 1995).

To state a claim under § 1983, a plaintiff must allege that (1) the conduct about which he complains was committed by a person acting under color of state law, and (2) the conduct deprived him of a constitutional right. *Balisteri v. Pacifica Police Dep't*, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1988). In addition, a plaintiff must allege that he suffered a specific injury as a result of the conduct of a particular defendant and he must allege an affirmative link between the injury and the conduct of that defendant. *Rizzo v. Goode*, 423 U.S. 362, 371-72, 377 (1976).

17

A. Director of ADPS

18 To state a valid claim under § 1983, a plaintiff must allege that he suffered a 19 specific injury as a result of specific conduct of a defendant and show an affirmative link 20 between the injury and the conduct of that defendant. See Rizzo, 423 U.S. at 371-72, 377. 21 There is no respondent superior liability under § 1983, and therefore, a defendant's 22 position as the supervisor of persons who allegedly violated a plaintiff's constitutional 23 rights does not impose liability. Monell v. New York City Dep't of Soc. Servs., 436 U.S. 24 658, 691-92 (1978); Hamilton v. Endell, 981 F.2d 1062, 1067 (9th Cir. 1992); Taylor v. 25 List, 880 F.2d 1040, 1045 (9th Cir. 1989). "Because vicarious liability is inapplicable to 26 Bivens and § 1983 suits, a plaintiff must plead that each Government-official defendant, 27 through the official's own individual actions, has violated the Constitution." Iqbal, 556 28 U.S. at 676.

1 Although Plaintiff has sued the ADPS Director, Plaintiff has failed to make any 2 specific allegations against the Director. Plaintiff has not alleged that the Director 3 personally participated in a deprivation of Plaintiff's constitutional rights, was aware of a 4 deprivation and failed to act, or formed policies that resulted in Plaintiff's injuries. The 5 only mention of the Director in Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint is in Count I, where Plaintiff alleges that Officers Simon, Schmidt and Hopkins violated his "unalienable 6 7 rights . . . by enforcing the corporate policies against [him], this is training received and 8 approved by the Director of [ADPS]." This allusion to "training" and "policies" fails to 9 identify any specific policy that resulted in injury to Plaintiff. Such a vague and 10 conclusory allegation is insufficient to state a claim. Accordingly, the Court will dismiss 11 without prejudice Defendant Director of ADPS.

12

B. False Arrest and Detention

13 Although Plaintiff identifies the issue involved in Count I as "harassment of 14 freeman," Plaintiff appears to be making a claim that he was falsely arrested and 15 detained. To state a § 1983 claim for false arrest and detention, a plaintiff must allege 16 that there was no probable cause for his arrest. See Cabrera v. City of Huntington Park, 17 159 F.3d 374, 380 (9th Cir. 1998) (citing George v. City of Long Beach, 973 F.2d 706, 18 710 (9th Cir.1992)). Probable cause "exists 'when under the totality of the circumstances 19 known to the arresting officers, a prudent person would have concluded that there was a 20 fair probability that [the person arrested] had committed a crime."" Barlow v. Ground, 21 943 F.2d 1132, 1135 (9th Cir. 1991) (citing United States v. Smith, 790 F.2d 789, 792 22 (9th Cir. 1986)).

Plaintiff has failed to state a claim for false arrest and detention. First, Plaintiff has not alleged the crime for which he was falsely arrested or detained or the circumstances surrounding that arrest. Further, Plaintiff has not stated whether he is presently in custody in relation to those charges, is awaiting trial on those charges, or has been convicted of them. Nor has he alleged that Defendants lacked probable cause to arrest him. For all of these reasons, Plaintiff fails to state a claim for false arrest or

detention. Although pro se pleadings are liberally construed, Haines v. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519 (1972), conclusory and vague allegations will not support a cause of action. *Ivey v.* 3 Bd. of Regents of the Univ. of Alaska, 673 F.2d 266 (9th Cir. 1982); Rhodes v. Robinson, 4 612 F.2d 766, 772 (3d Cir. 1979). Further, a liberal interpretation of a civil rights 5 complaint may not supply essential elements of the claim that were not initially pled. *Ivey*, 673 F.2d at 268. 6

7 8

1

2

C. **Search and Seizure**

9 In Count II, Plaintiff asserts a Fourth Amendment claim for the search and seizure 10 of his property. Specifically, Plaintiff contends that Simon and Schmidt, without a 11 warrant and without Plaintiff's consent, searched and seized a 2011 Ford F-250 truck and 12 its contents, as well money and items on Plaintiff's person.

Therefore, Count I fails to state a claim and it will be dismissed.

13 These allegations, absent additional facts, are insufficient to state a claim for 14 Plaintiff fails to allege any of the circumstances unlawful search and seizure. 15 surrounding the alleged search and seizure of his vehicle and property. As the Court 16 noted, it cannot determine at this time if the alleged search and seizure occurred on the 17 same day as Plaintiff's arrest or exactly one year later. Because the very same DPS 18 officers were named in Counts I and II, and Plaintiff said the events in Counts I and II 19 occurred on January 3, it appears that the allegations in Counts I and II occurred on the 20 same date and that Plaintiff merely wrote the wrong year in one of his Counts. However, 21 the Court cannot make that assumption. Plaintiff must allege when, where, how, and the 22 ostensible reason for the search of his vehicle and property. Because Plaintiff has failed 23 to allege sufficient facts, Count II will be dismissed with leave to amend.

24

D. Abstention

25 The failure to state a claim includes a claim to which the abstention doctrine 26 applies. See Yahoo! Inc. v. La Ligue Contre Le Racisme Et L'Antisemitisme, 433 F.3d 27 1199, 1224 (9th Cir. 2006); Smith v. Cent. Ariz. Water Conservation Dist., 418 F.3d 28 1028, 1030 (9th Cir. 2005). The abstention doctrine set forth in Younger v. Harris, 401

- 7 -

U.S. 37 (1971), prevents a federal court in most circumstances from directly interfering
with ongoing criminal proceedings in state court. The *Younger* abstention doctrine also
bars requests for declaratory and monetary relief for constitutional injuries arising out of
a plaintiff's ongoing state criminal prosecution. *Mann v. Jett*, 781 F.2d 1448, 1449 (9th
Cir. 1986). Further, the *Younger* abstention doctrine applies while a case works its way
through the state appellate process, if a prisoner is convicted. *New Orleans Pub. Serv.*, *Inc. v. Council of City of New Orleans*, 491 U.S. 350, 369 (1989).

As noted, Plaintiff is currently in custody pending trial. To the extent Plaintiff is
challenging his pre-trial arrest, detention, and search and seizure of property related to his
ongoing criminal proceedings, the *Younger* doctrine applies. Plaintiff has not asserted
any basis for a federal court to interfere in his state criminal proceedings. Accordingly,
any such claims are dismissed pursuant to the abstention doctrine.

13

IV. Leave to Amend

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint will be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. Within 30 days, Plaintiff may submit a second amended complaint to cure the deficiencies outlined above. The Clerk of Court will mail Plaintiff a court-approved form to use for filing a second amended complaint. If Plaintiff fails to use the court-approved form, the Court may strike the second amended complaint and dismiss this action without further notice to Plaintiff.

Plaintiff must clearly designate on the face of the document that it is the "Second
Amended Complaint." The second amended complaint must be retyped or rewritten in
its entirety on the court-approved form and may not incorporate any part of the original
Complaint or First Amended Complaint by reference. Plaintiff may include only one
claim per count.

A second amended complaint supersedes the original Complaint and First
Amended Complaint. *Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1262 (9th Cir. 1992); *Hal Roach Studios v. Richard Feiner & Co.*, 896 F.2d 1542, 1546 (9th Cir. 1990). After

- 8 -

amendment, the Court will treat the original Complaint and First Amended Complaint as
 nonexistent. *Ferdik*, 963 F.2d at 1262. Any cause of action that was raised in the
 original Complaint or First Amended complaint is waived if it is not raised in a second
 amended complaint. *King v. Atiyeh*, 814 F.2d 565, 567 (9th Cir. 1987).

- 5 V. Warnings
- 6

A. Release

Plaintiff must pay the unpaid balance of the filing fee within 120 days of his
release. Also, within 30 days of his release, he must either (1) notify the Court that he
intends to pay the balance or (2) show good cause, in writing, why he cannot. Failure to
comply may result in dismissal of this action.

11

B. Address Changes

Plaintiff must file and serve a notice of a change of address in accordance with
Rule 83.3(d) of the Local Rules of Civil Procedure. Plaintiff must not include a motion
for other relief with a notice of change of address. Failure to comply may result in
dismissal of this action.

16

C. Copies

Plaintiff must submit an additional copy of every filing for use by the Court. *See*LRCiv 5.4. Failure to comply may result in the filing being stricken without further
notice to Plaintiff.

20

D. Possible "Strike"

21 Because the First Amended Complaint has been dismissed for failure to state a 22 claim, if Plaintiff fails to file a second amended complaint correcting the deficiencies 23 identified in this Order, the dismissal may count as a "strike" under the "3-strikes" 24 provision of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). Under the 3-strikes provision, a prisoner may not bring 25 a civil action or appeal a civil judgment *in forma pauperis* under 28 U.S.C. § 1915 "if the 26 prisoner has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any facility, 27 brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed on the 28 grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

3

4

5

6

7

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

E. Possible Dismissal

If Plaintiff fails to timely comply with every provision of this Order, including these warnings, the Court may dismiss this action without further notice. *See Ferdik*, 963 F.2d at 1260-61 (a district court may dismiss an action for failure to comply with any order of the Court).

8 **IT IS ORDERED:**

9 (1) The First Amended Complaint (Doc. 10) is dismissed for failure to state a
10 claim. Plaintiff has 30 days from the date this Order is filed to file a second amended
11 complaint in compliance with this Order.

12 (2) If Plaintiff fails to file a second amended complaint within 30 days, the
13 Clerk of Court must, without further notice, enter a judgment of dismissal of this action
14 with prejudice that states that the dismissal may count as a "strike" under 28 U.S.C.
15 § 1915(g).

16 (3) To the extent any relief is requested in Plaintiff's "Second Attempt to Offer
17 Commissioner Patricia Stark Affidavit" (Doc. 9) and "Certified Promissory Money Note"
18 (Doc. 11), such relief is **denied**.

19 (4) The Clerk of Court must mail Plaintiff a court-approved form for filing a20 civil rights complaint by a prisoner.

DATED this 16th day of July, 2013.

Robert C. Broomfield / Senior United States District Judge

Instructions for a Prisoner Filing a Civil Rights Complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona

1. <u>Who May Use This Form</u>. The civil rights complaint form is designed to help incarcerated persons prepare a complaint seeking relief for a violation of their federal civil rights. These complaints typically concern, but are not limited to, conditions of confinement. **This form should not be used to challenge your conviction or sentence**. If you want to challenge a state conviction or sentence, you should file a petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 for a writ of habeas corpus by a person in state custody. If you want to challenge a federal conviction or sentence, you should file a motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 to vacate sentence in the federal court that entered the judgment.

2. <u>The Form</u>. Local Rule of Civil Procedure (LRCiv) 3.4(a) provides that complaints by incarcerated persons must be filed on the court-approved form. The form must be typed or neatly handwritten. The form must be completely filled in to the extent applicable. All questions must be answered clearly and concisely in the appropriate space on the form. If needed, you may attach additional pages, but no more than fifteen additional pages, of standard letter-sized paper. You must identify which part of the complaint is being continued and number all pages. If you do not fill out the form properly, you will be asked to submit additional or corrected information, which may delay the processing of your action. You do not need to cite law.

3. <u>Your Signature</u>. You must tell the truth and sign the form. If you make a false statement of a material fact, you may be prosecuted for perjury.

4. <u>The Filing Fee</u>. The filing fee for this action is \$350.00. If you are unable to immediately pay the filing fee, you may request leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Please review the "Information for Prisoners Seeking Leave to Proceed with a (Non-Habeas) Civil Action in Federal Court *In Forma Pauperis* Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915" for additional instructions.

5. <u>Original and Judge's Copy</u>. You must send an **original plus one copy** of your complaint and of any other documents submitted to the Court. You must send one additional copy to the Court if you wish to have a file-stamped copy of the document returned to you. All copies must be identical to the original. Copies may be legibly handwritten.

6. <u>Where to File</u>. You should file your complaint in the division where you were confined when your rights were allegedly violated. <u>See</u> LRCiv 5.1(a) and 77.1(a). If you were confined in Maricopa, Pinal, Yuma, La Paz, or Gila County, file in the Phoenix Division. If you were confined in Apache, Navajo, Coconino, Mohave, or Yavapai County, file in the Prescott Division. If you were confined in Pima, Cochise, Santa Cruz, Graham, or Greenlee County, file in the Tucson Division. Mail the original and one copy of the complaint with the \$350 filing fee or the application to proceed *in forma pauperis* to:

Phoenix & Prescott Divisions:	OR	Tucson Division:
U.S. District Court Clerk		U.S. District Court Clerk
U.S. Courthouse, Suite 130		U.S. Courthouse, Suite 1500
401 West Washington Street, SPC 1	0	405 West Congress Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85003-2119		Tucson, Arizona 85701-5010

7. <u>Change of Address</u>. You must immediately notify the Court and the defendants in writing of any change in your mailing address. **Failure to notify the Court of any change in your mailing address may result in the dismissal of your case.**

8. <u>Certificate of Service</u>. You must furnish the defendants with a copy of any document you submit to the Court (except the initial complaint and application to proceed *in forma pauperis*). Each original document (except the initial complaint and application to proceed *in forma pauperis*) must include a certificate of service on the last page of the document stating the date a copy of the document was mailed to the defendants and the address to which it was mailed. <u>See</u> Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(a), (d). Any document received by the Court that does not include a certificate of service may be stricken. A certificate of service should be in the following form:

I hereby	v certify that a copy of the fo	pregoing document was mailed
this	(month, d	lay, year) to:
Name:		
Address		
	Attorney for Defendant(s)	

(Signature)

9. <u>Amended Complaint</u>. If you need to change any of the information in the initial complaint, you must file an amended complaint. The amended complaint must be written on the court-approved civil rights complaint form. You may file one amended complaint without leave (permission) of Court before any defendant has answered your original complaint. <u>See</u> Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a). After any defendant has filed an answer, you must file a motion for leave to amend and lodge (submit) a proposed amended complaint. LRCiv 15.1. In addition, an amended complaint may not incorporate by reference any part of your prior complaint. LRCiv 15.1(a)(2). Any allegations or defendants not included in the amended complaint are considered dismissed. All amended complaints are subject to screening under the Prison Litigation Reform Act; screening your amendment will take additional processing time.

10. <u>Exhibits</u>. You should not submit exhibits with the complaint or amended complaint. Instead, the relevant information should be paraphrased. You should keep the exhibits to use to support or oppose a motion to dismiss, a motion for summary judgment, or at trial.

11. <u>Letters and Motions</u>. It is generally inappropriate to write a letter to any judge or the staff of any judge. The only appropriate way to communicate with the Court is by filing a written pleading or motion.

12. Completing the Civil Rights Complaint Form.

HEADING:

1. <u>Your Name</u>. Print your name, prison or inmate number, and institutional mailing address on the lines provided.

2. <u>Defendants</u>. If there are **four or fewer** defendants, print the name of each. If you name **more than four** defendants, print the name of the first defendant on the first line, write the words "and others" on the second line, and attach an additional page listing the names of **all** of the defendants. Insert the additional page after page 1 and number it "1-A" at the bottom.

3. <u>Jury Demand</u>. If you want a jury trial, you must write "JURY TRIAL DEMANDED" in the space below "CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT BY A PRISONER." Failure to do so may result in the loss of the right to a jury trial. A jury trial is not available if you are seeking only injunctive relief.

Part A. JURISDICTION:

1. <u>Nature of Suit</u>. Mark whether you are filing the complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for state, county, or city defendants; "<u>Bivens v. Six Unknown Federal Narcotics</u> <u>Agents</u>" for federal defendants; or "other." If you mark "other," identify the source of that authority.

2. <u>Location</u>. Identify the institution and city where the alleged violation of your rights occurred.

3. <u>Defendants</u>. Print all of the requested information about each of the defendants in the spaces provided. If you are naming more than four defendants, you must provide the necessary information about each additional defendant on separate pages labeled "2-A," "2-B," etc., at the bottom. Insert the additional page(s) immediately behind page 2.

Part B. PREVIOUS LAWSUITS:

You must identify any other lawsuit you have filed in either state or federal court while you were a prisoner. Print all of the requested information about each lawsuit in the spaces provided. If you have filed more than three lawsuits, you must provide the necessary information about each additional lawsuit on a separate page. Label the page(s) as "2-A," "2-B," etc., at the bottom of the page and insert the additional page(s) immediately behind page 2.

Part C. CAUSE OF ACTION:

You must identify what rights each defendant violated. The form provides space to allege three separate counts (**one violation per count**). If you are alleging more than three counts, you must provide the necessary information about each additional count on a separate page. Number the additional pages "5-A," "5-B," etc., and insert them immediately behind page 5. Remember that you are limited to a total of fifteen additional pages.

1. <u>Counts</u>. You must identify which civil right was violated. You may allege the violation of only one civil right per count.

2. <u>Issue Involved</u>. Check the box that most closely identifies the issue involved in your claim. **You may check only one box per count**. If you check the box marked "Other," you must identify the specific issue involved.

3. <u>Supporting Facts</u>. After you have identified which civil right was violated, you must state the supporting facts. Be as specific as possible. You must state what each individual defendant did to violate your rights. If there is more than one defendant, you must identify which defendant did what act. You also should state the date(s) on which the act(s) occurred, if possible.

4. <u>Injury</u>. State precisely how you were injured by the alleged violation of your rights.

5. <u>Administrative Remedies</u>. You must exhaust any available administrative remedies before you file a civil rights complaint. <u>See</u> 42 U.S.C. § 1997e. Consequently, you should disclose whether you have exhausted the inmate grievance procedures or administrative appeals for each count in your complaint. If the grievance procedures were not available for any of your counts, fully explain why on the lines provided.

Part D. REQUEST FOR RELIEF:

Print the relief you are seeking in the space provided.

SIGNATURE:

You must sign your name and print the date you signed the complaint. Failure to sign the complaint will delay the processing of your action. Unless you are an attorney, you may not bring an action on behalf of anyone but yourself.

FINAL NOTE

You should follow these instructions carefully. Failure to do so may result in your complaint being stricken or dismissed. All questions must be answered concisely in the proper space on the form. If you need more space, you may attach no more than fifteen additional pages. But the form must be completely filled in to the extent applicable. If you attach additional pages, be sure to identify which section of the complaint is being continued and number the pages.

Name and Prisoner/Booking Number

Place of Confinement

Mailing Address

City, State, Zip Code

(Failure to notify the Court of your change of address may result in dismissal of this action.)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

)
(Full Name of Plaintiff) Plaintiff,	,)
VS.) CASE NO
) (To be supplied by the Clerk)
(1) (Full Name of Defendant)	,)
(2)	,))
(3)	,) CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT) BY A PRISONER)
(4)	,) Driginal Complaint
Defendant(s).) First Amended Complaint
Check if there are additional Defendants and attach page 1-A listing them.) Second Amended Complaint

A. JURISDICTION

1. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to:

28 U.S.C. § 1343(a); 42 U.S.C. § 1983

2. Institution/city where violation occurred:

B. DEFENDANTS

1.	Name of first Defendant:	
	atat	· (Institution)
2.	Name of second Defendant:	The second Defendant is employed as:
	(Position and Title)	(Institution)
3.	Name of third Defendant:at	
		(Institution)
4.	Name of fourth Defendant:atatatat	
	(Position and Title)	(Institution)
If y	you name more than four Defendants, answer the questions listed above	for each additional Defendant on a separate page.
	C. PREVIOUS LAWS	UITS
1.	Have you filed any other lawsuits while you were a prisor	her? \Box Yes \Box No
2.	If yes, how many lawsuits have you filed? Describ	be the previous lawsuits:
	a. First prior lawsuit:	
	1. Parties:vv.	
	2. Court and case number:	
	3. Result: (Was the case dismissed? Was it appear	led? Is it still pending?)
	b. Second prior lawsuit:	
	1. Parties:vvv.	
	2. Court and case number:	
	3. Result: (Was the case dismissed? Was it appear	led? Is it still pending?)
	c. Third prior lawsuit:	
	1. Parties:vvvvv	
	2. Court and case number:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	 Court and case number:	led? Is it still pending?)

If you filed more than three lawsuits, answer the questions listed above for each additional lawsuit on a separate page.

D. CAUSE OF ACTION

COUNT I

1.	State the	constitutional	or othe	er federal	civil	right	that was	violated:
						0		

2.	Count I. Identify the issue involved. Check only one. State additional issues in separate counts. Basic necessities Mail Access to the court Medical care Disciplinary proceedings Property Exercise of religion Retaliation Excessive force by an officer Threat to safety Other:
	Supporting Facts. State as briefly as possible the FACTS supporting Count I. Describe exactly what h Defendant did or did not do that violated your rights. State the facts clearly in your own words without ng legal authority or arguments.
4.	Injury. State how you were injured by the actions or inactions of the Defendant(s).
5.	Administrative Remedies:
	 a. Are there any administrative remedies (grievance procedures or administrative appeals) available at your institution? b. Did you submit a request for administrative relief on Count I? c. Did you appeal your request for relief on Count I to the highest level? d. If you did not submit or appeal a request for administrative relief at any level, briefly explain why you did not.

COUNT II

1.	State the	constitutional	or other	federal	civil right	that was	violated:

2.	Count II. Identify the issue invo Basic necessities	lved. Check only o	ne . State additional issues in \Box Access to the court	separate counts.
	□ Disciplinary proceedings		\Box Exercise of religion	□ Medical care
	\Box Disciplinary proceedings \Box Excessive force by an officer	1 2	U	
	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	-		
	Supporting Facts. State as briefl	· 1	11 0	5
each	Defendant did or did not do that	violated your rights	. State the facts clearly in you	ur own words without

citing legal authority or arguments.

4. **Injury.** State how you were injured by the actions or inactions of the Defendant(s).

5. Administrative Remedies.

a.	Are there any administrative remedies (grievance procedures or administrative a	appeals) a	available
	at your institution?	□ Yes	🗆 No

☐ Yes

☐ Yes

 \Box No

🗌 No

b. Did you submit a request for administrative relief on Count II?

c. Did you appeal your request for relief on Count II to the highest level?

d.	If you did not	t submit or appeal	a request for	administrative	relief at an	y level, br	iefly e	xplain	why
	you did not.								

COUNT III

1.	State the	constitutional	or other	federal	civil ri	ght that	was	violated:

2.	Count III. Identify the issue involved. Check only one. State additional issues in separate counts.				
	□ Basic necessities	🗆 Mail	\Box Access to the court	☐ Medical care	
	Disciplinary proceedings	Property	\Box Exercise of religion	□ Retaliation	
	\Box Excessive force by an officer	☐ Threat to safety	Other:		

3. **Supporting Facts.** State as briefly as possible the FACTS supporting Count III. Describe exactly what **each Defendant** did or did not do that violated your rights. State the facts clearly in your own words without citing legal authority or arguments.

4. **Injury.** State how you were injured by the actions or inactions of the Defendant(s).

5. Administrative Remedies.

a.	Are there any administrative remedies (grievance procedures or administrative a	appeals) a	available
	at your institution?	□ Yes	🗌 No

Yes

□ Yes

□ No

- b. Did you submit a request for administrative relief on Count III?
- c. Did you appeal your request for relief on Count III to the highest level?
- d. If you did not submit or appeal a request for administrative relief at any level, briefly explain why you did not.

If you assert more than three Counts, answer the questions listed above for each additional Count on a separate page.

E. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

State the relief you are seeking:

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on _____

DATE

SIGNATURE OF PLAINTIFF

(Name and title of paralegal, legal assistant, or other person who helped prepare this complaint)

(Signature of attorney, if any)

(Attorney's address & telephone number)

ADDITIONAL PAGES

All questions must be answered concisely in the proper space on the form. If you need more space, you may attach no more than fifteen additional pages. But the form must be completely filled in to the extent applicable. If you attach additional pages, be sure to identify which section of the complaint is being continued and number all pages.