

1 WO

KM

2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**  
8

9 Kirkland Renard Silas,

No. CV 13-1567-PHX-GMS (DKD)

10 Petitioner,

11 vs.

**ORDER**

12 Charles L. Ryan, et al.,

13 Respondents.  
14

15 Petitioner Kirkland Renard Silas, who is confined in the Arizona State Prison  
16 Complex-Tucson, has filed a *pro se* Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28  
17 U.S.C. § 2254 (Doc. 1), an Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (Doc. 2), and a  
18 Motion to Appoint Counsel (Doc. 6). The Court will dismiss the Petition without  
19 prejudice and deny as moot the Motion to Appoint Counsel.

20 **I. Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis***

21 Petitioner's Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* indicates that his inmate  
22 trust account balance is less than \$25.00. Accordingly, the Application to Proceed *In*  
23 *Forma Pauperis* will be granted. *See* LRCiv 3.5(b).

24 **II. Petition**

25 This is Petitioner's **second** petition for a writ of habeas corpus challenging his  
26 conviction in Maricopa County Superior Court, case #CR 94-93693, for reckless  
27 manslaughter. On November 20, 2002, Petitioner filed his first petition for a writ of  
28 habeas corpus regarding that conviction, *Silas v. Rollins*, CV 02-2338-PHX-EHC. In a

1 July 8, 2003 Order (Doc. 20 in CV 02-2338-PHX-EHC), the Court denied the petition,  
2 and the Clerk of Court entered Judgment accordingly (Doc. 21 in CV 02-2338-PHX-  
3 EHC).

4 Under 28 U.S.C. § 2244, a petitioner may not file a second or successive § 2254  
5 petition in the district court unless the petitioner has obtained a certification from the  
6 Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals authorizing the district court to consider the second or  
7 subsequent § 2254 petition. A habeas petition is “‘second or successive’ if it raises  
8 claims that were or could have been adjudicated on their merits in an earlier petition.”  
9 *Cooper v. Calderon*, 274 F.3d 1270, 1273 (9th Cir. 2001) (*per curiam*). The current  
10 petition, therefore, is a second or successive petition.

11 Petitioner has not presented a certification from the Ninth Circuit authorizing the  
12 Court to consider a second or subsequent § 2254 petition. Accordingly, the current  
13 Petition and this action must be dismissed as a successive petition. The Court, however,  
14 will dismiss the case without prejudice so that Petitioner may seek certification from the  
15 Ninth Circuit to file a second or successive § 2254 petition.

16 **IT IS ORDERED:**

17 (1) Petitioner’s Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (Doc. 2) is **granted**.

18 (2) Petitioner’s Motion to Appoint Counsel (Doc. 6) is **denied**.

19 (3) Petitioner’s Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Doc. 1) and this action are  
20 **dismissed without prejudice**, and the Clerk of Court must **enter judgment** accordingly.

21 (4) The Clerk of Court must provide Petitioner with a copy of the form  
22 recommended by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals for filing an Application for Leave  
23 to File Second or Successive Petition Under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 or Motion Under 28  
24 U.S.C. § 2255.

25 ...


26 ...

27 ...

28 ...

1 (5) Pursuant to Rule 11(a) of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, in the  
2 event Petitioner files an appeal, the Court declines to issue a certificate of appealability  
3 because reasonable jurists would not find the Court's procedural ruling debatable. *See*  
4 *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000).

5 Dated this 6th day of November, 2013.

6  
7 

8 \_\_\_\_\_  
9 G. Murray Snow  
10 United States District Judge  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SECOND OR SUCCESSIVE  
PETITION UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2254 OR § 2255**

Docket Number \_\_\_\_\_ (to be provided by court)

Petitioner's name \_\_\_\_\_

Prisoner registration number \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions - Read Carefully**

1. This application, whether handwritten or typewritten, must be legible and signed by the petitioner under penalty of perjury. An original and five (5) copies must be provided to the Clerk. The application must comply with 9th Circuit Rule 22-3, which is attached to this form.
2. All questions must be answered concisely and in relationship to the questioned asked on this form.
3. The petitioner **shall** serve a copy of this application and any attachments on respondent and must complete and file a proof of service with this application.
4. The petitioner **shall** attach to this application copies of the magistrate judge's report and recommendation and the district court's opinion in any prior federal habeas proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 or § 2255 or state why such documents are unavailable to petitioner.

\_\_\_\_\_ This form has not been officially adopted by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, but prisoners are encouraged to use this form to comply with Ninth Circuit Rule 22-3 pending the completion of official rule-making procedures. Questions should be directed to the Office of Staff Attorneys, Motions/Pro Se Unit, (415) 556-9890

**You Must Answer the Following Questions:**

1. What conviction(s) are you challenging?

---

---

2. In what court(s) were you convicted of these crime(s)?

---

---

3. What was the date of each of your conviction(s) and what is the length of each sentence?

---

---

**For questions (4) through (9), provide information separately for each of your previous section 2254 or 2255 proceedings. Use additional pages if necessary.**

4. With respect to **each** conviction and sentence, have you ever filed a petition or motion for habeas corpus relief in federal court under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 or § 2255?            Yes         No

(a) In which federal district court did you file a petition or motion?

---

(b) What was the docket number? \_\_\_\_\_

(c) On what date did you file the petition/motion? \_\_\_\_\_

5. What grounds were raised in your previous habeas proceeding? (list all grounds and issues previously raised in that petition/motion)

---

---

---

---

---

---

6. Did the district court hold an evidentiary hearing?        Yes         No

7. How did the district court rule on your petition/motion?

a. District court **dismissed** petition/motion,  
if yes, on what grounds? \_\_\_\_\_

District court **denied** petition/motion;

District court **granted** relief;  
if yes, on what claims and what was the relief?  
\_\_\_\_\_

(attach copies of all reports and orders issued by the district court)

8. On what date did the district court decide your petition/motion?  
\_\_\_\_\_

9. Did you file an appeal from that disposition? Yes  No

(a) What was the docket number of your appeal? \_\_\_\_\_

(b) How did the court of appeals decide your appeal? \_\_\_\_\_

10. State concisely each and every ground or issue you wish to raise in your current petition or motion for habeas relief. Summarize briefly the facts supporting each ground or issue.

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

11. For each ground raised, was it raised in the state courts? If so, what did the state courts rule and when?

---

---

---

---

12. For each ground/issue raised, was this claim raised in any prior federal petition/motion? (list each ground separately)

---

---

---

---

13. For each ground/issue raised, does this claim rely on a new rule of constitutional law? (list each ground separately and give case name and citation for each new rule of law)

---

---

---

---

---

14. For each ground/issue raised, does this claim rely on newly discovered evidence? What is the evidence? Why has this newly discovered evidence not been previously available to you? (list each ground separately)

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

15. For each ground/issue raised, does the newly discovered evidence establish your innocence? How?

---

---

---

---

16. For each ground/issue raised, does the newly discovered evidence establish a federal constitutional error? Which provision of the Constitution was violated and how?

---

---

---

---

17. Provide any other basis for your motion not previously stated.

---

---

---

---

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**Proof of Service on Respondent MUST be Attached.**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

95 Seventh Street  
San Francisco, CA 94103

**NINTH CIRCUIT RULE 22-3**

**(a) Applications.**

Any petitioner seeking leave to file a second or successive 2254 petition or 2255 motion in the district court must file an application in the Court of Appeals demonstrating entitlement to such leave under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2244 or 2255. An original and five copies of the application must be filed with the Clerk of the Court of Appeals. No filing fee is required. If a second or successive petition or motion, or an application for leave to file such a petition or motion, is mistakenly submitted to the district court, the district court shall refer it to the court of appeals.

The application must:

- (1) include a copy of the second or successive 2254 petition or 2255 motion which the applicant seeks to file in the district court; and
- (2) state as to each claim presented whether it previously has been raised in any state or federal court and, if so, the name of the court and the date of the order disposing of such claim(s); and
- (3) state how the requirements of sections 2244(b) or 2255 have been satisfied.

**(b) Excerpts of Record.**

If reasonably available to the petitioner, the application must include copies of all relevant state court orders and decisions and all dispositive district court orders in prior federal proceedings. If excerpts of record filed by petitioner are incomplete, respondent may file a supplemental excerpt of record.

**(c) Service.**

The petitioner must serve a copy of the application and all attachments on the respondent, and must attach a certificate of service to the application filed with the court.

**(d) Response.**

In noncapital cases, no response is required unless ordered by the court. In capital cases where an execution date is scheduled and no stay is in place, respondent shall respond to the application and file supplemental excerpts as soon as practicable. Otherwise, in capital cases, respondent shall respond and file supplemental excerpts within ten days of the date the application is served.

**(e) Decision.**

The application will be determined by a three-judge panel. In capital cases where an execution date is scheduled and no stay is in place, the court will grant or deny the application, and state its reasons therefore, as soon as practicable.

**(f) Stays of Execution.**

If an execution date is scheduled and no stay is in place, any judge may, if necessary, enter a stay of execution, see Circuit Rule 22-2(e), but the question will be presented to the panel as soon as practicable. If the court grants leave to file a second or successive application, the court shall stay petitioner's execution pending disposition of the second or successive petition by the district court.