

1 WO
2
3
4
5

6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**
8

9 Sherman Terrell Pruitt,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 Charles L. Ryan, et al.,

13 Defendants.
14

No. CV-13-02357-PHX-DJH (ESW)

ORDER

15 Plaintiff Sherman Terrell Pruitt, who is confined in the Arizona State Prison
16 Complex-Lewis, has filed a pro se civil rights First Amended Complaint pursuant to 42
17 U.S.C. § 1983 (Doc. 7). Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File an Amended Complaint
18 (Doc. 29) was denied by Order of the Court filed August 18, 2015 (Doc. 34). Plaintiff
19 seeks reconsideration of the Court's Order in the pending Motion for Reconsideration for
20 Leave to Amend First Amended Complaint (Doc. 36). Plaintiff asserts that library hours,
21 Plaintiff's lack of understanding of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and Plaintiff's
22 lack of legal training support reconsideration of the Court's ruling.

23 Motions for reconsideration should be granted only in rare circumstances. *See*
24 *Carroll v. Nakatani*, 342 F.3d 934, 945 (9th Cir. 2003). "Reconsideration is appropriate if
25 the district court (1) is presented with newly discovered evidence, (2) committed clear
26 error or the initial decision was manifestly unjust, or (3) if there is an intervening change
27 in controlling law." *School Dist. No. 1J, Multnomah County v. ACandS, Inc.*, 5 F.3d
28 1255, 1263 (9th Cir. 1993). *See also* LRCiv 7.2(g)(1) ("The Court will ordinarily deny a

1 motion for reconsideration of an Order absent a showing of manifest error or a showing
2 of new facts or legal authority that could not have been brought to its attention earlier
3 with reasonable diligence”).

4 Here, Plaintiff has not presented any basis which warrants reconsideration of the
5 Court’s prior Order denying the request to file a second amended complaint. Plaintiff has
6 presented no newly discovered evidence or intervening change in controlling law. The
7 Court has committed no clear error. Plaintiff has failed to establish manifest injustice.

8 Plaintiff having failed to satisfy the standard for reconsideration, the Motion for
9 Reconsideration for Leave to Amend First Amended Complaint will be denied.

10 **CONCLUSION**

11 IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff’s Motion for Reconsideration for Leave to Amend
12 First Amended Complaint (Doc. 26) is denied.

13 Dated this 24th day of November, 2015.

14
15 

16 _____
17 Honorable Eileen S. Willett
18 United States Magistrate Judge
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28