

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

KAB

**WO**

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Samuel Louis Fuller,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
Kari Jill Granville, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. CV 14-00020-PHX-DGC

**ORDER**

Plaintiff Samuel Louis Fuller, who is currently confined in Maricopa County Fourth Avenue Jail, brought this civil rights case pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (Doc. 41.)

Plaintiff filed “Plaintiff’s Ex Parte Motion with Exhibits 1, 2, and 3” (Doc. 154.) In his “motion” Plaintiff asserts that “the matters [in the motion] are not intended for the Court’s response, however the record is substantiative [sic] enough that Plaintiff believes such contentions may well better appropriate the manner of proceedings this case styles, by the Plaintiff’s ex parte communication to undersigned counsel on the record.” (Doc. 154 at 1.) Attached to the “motion” is a letter written to defense counsel, which contains statements that could be construed as threats. Indeed, nothing in “Plaintiff’s Ex Parte Motion with Exhibits 1, 2, and 3” is relevant to the issues in this case and the “motion” does not assist the Court in determining the merits of Plaintiff’s claims and does not add to the substantive claims. Accordingly, the Court will deny the Motion and strike it from the Record.

