

1 WO
2
3
4
5

6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**
8

9 John Charles Loomis,

10 Petitioner,

11 v.

12 Charles L. Ryan, et al.,

13 Respondents.
14

No. CV-14-00445-PHX-JAT

ORDER

15 Pending before this Court is Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus.
16 (Doc. 1). The Magistrate Judge to whom this case was assigned issued a Report and
17 Recommendation (R&R) recommending that this Court deny the Petition and issuance of
18 a certificate of appealability. (Doc. 15).

19 Neither party has filed objections to the R&R.¹ Accordingly, the Court hereby
20 accepts the R&R. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) (finding that district
21 courts are not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the
22 subject of an objection" (emphasis added)); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114,
23 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (*en banc*) ("statute makes it clear that the district judge must review
24 the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de novo if objection is made, but
25 not otherwise" (emphasis in original)); *see also Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F.Supp.2d
26 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003).

27 _____
28 ¹ Petitioner received an extension of time to file his objections, but the extended
deadline has expired. (Doc. 21).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Based on the foregoing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation (Doc. 15) is **ACCEPTED**; accordingly,

- Petitioner’s Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Doc. 1) is denied and dismissed with prejudice,
- in the event Petitioner files an appeal, issuance of a certificate of appealability is denied, and
- the Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment of dismissal with prejudice.

Dated this 29th day of March, 2016.



James A. Teilborg
Senior United States District Judge