statutory scheme as it has existed since 1887, a defendant may not remove a case to federal court unless the *plaintiff's* complaint establishes that the case 'arises under' federal

27

28

1	law."(quoting Franchise Tax Board v. Construction Laborers Vacation Trust, 463 U.S. 1,
2	10 (1983)).
3	Based on the foregoing,
4	IT IS ORDERED that the application to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. 2) is
5	denied.
6	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Defendant does not pay the filing fee by May
7	16, 2014, the Clerk of the Court shall remand this case to state court.
8	IT IS FINALLY ORDERED that if Defendant pays the filing fee on or before May
9	16, 2014, then, by May 23, 2014, Defendant shall file a supplement to the notice of removal
10	properly alleging federal subject matter jurisdiction or this case will be remanded on that
11	basis.
12	DATED this 5 <sup>th</sup> day of May, 2014.
13	$\Omega M_{\rm M}$
14	4667
15	James A. Teilborg
16	Senior United States District Judge
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28