

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Francisco Leon,

Petitioner,

v.

Charles L. Ryan, et al.,

Respondents.

No. CV-14-01755-PHX-DLR

ORDER

Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) of Magistrate Judge Eileen S. Willett, (Doc. 14), regarding Petitioner’s Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, (Doc. 7). The R&R recommends that the petition be denied and dismissed with prejudice. The Magistrate Judge advised the parties that they had fourteen days to file objections to the R&R. (Doc. 14 at 8 (citing 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 6, 72.)) Petitioner filed his “Objections to Report and Recommendation” on October 13, 2015. (Doc. 15.)

The Court has considered the objections and reviewed the R&R de novo. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (stating that the court must make a de novo determination of those portions of the R&R to which specific objections are made). The Court agrees with the Magistrate Judge’s determinations, accepts the recommended decision within the meaning of Rule 72(b), and overrules Petitioner’s objections. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (stating that the district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate”).

