

1 **WO**

2
3
4
5
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**
8

9 Airbus DS Optronics GmbH,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 Nivisys LLC, et al.,

13 Defendants.
14

No. CV-14-02399-PHX-JAT

ORDER

15 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c), Plaintiff Airbus DS Optronics
16 GmbH (“Airbus”) and non-party First Texas Holdings Corporation (“First Texas”) have
17 stipulated and agreed to the entry of the following Stipulated Order applying the terms of
18 the Protective Order, previously entered by this Court on June 30, 2015, to the production
19 of documents by non-party First Texas to Airbus in response to Airbus’s Subpoena, dated
20 January 21, 2015, served on First Texas. The Court has previously found that this action
21 may involve trade secrets and other valuable research, development, commercial,
22 financial and technical and/or proprietary information for which special protection from
23 public disclosure and from use for any purpose other than prosecution of this action is
24 warranted. Accordingly, in order to expedite the flow of information, to facilitate the
25 prompt resolution of disputes over confidentiality of discovery materials, to adequately
26 protect information that First Texas is entitled or required to keep confidential, and to
27 ensure that the parties are permitted reasonably necessary uses of such materials in
28


1 preparation for and in the conduct of trial,

2 **IT IS ORDERED** approving the stipulation filed at Doc. 100.

3 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Protective Order entered at Doc. 92 shall
4 apply to the production of documents by non-party First Texas Holdings Corporation
5 pursuant to the subpoena served on First Texas Holdings Corporation by Plaintiff Airbus
6 in this action.

7 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the definition of “Documents” in the
8 Protective Order entered at Doc. 92 is clarified, as applied to First Texas Holdings
9 Corporation, to specifically include electronically-stored information, electronically-
10 stored documents, and hard copies of documents. Nothing in this clarification is intended
11 to affect the definition of “Documents” in the Protective Order as it applies to other non-
12 parties or parties.

13 Dated this 17th day of September, 2015.

14
15
16
17 
18 _____
19 James A. Teilborg
20 Senior United States District Judge
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28