

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Michael J. Cohn,

Petitioner,

v.

Arizona, State of,

Respondent.

No. CV-15-00267-PHX-DLR


ORDER

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) of Magistrate Judge Eileen S. Willett, (Doc. 52), regarding petitioner’s “Notice of deteriorating medical condition and request for relief,” (Doc. 34). The R&R recommends that the Court deny Petitioner’s notice. The Magistrate Judge advised the parties that they had fourteen days to file objections to the R&R. (Doc. 52 at 4 (citing Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).) Petitioner filed an objection on February 25, 2016. (Doc. 54.)

The Court has considered the objection and has reviewed the R&R de novo. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (stating that the court must make a de novo determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objections are made). The Court agrees with the Magistrate Judge’s determinations, accepts the recommended decision within the meaning of Rule 72(b), and overrules Petitioner’s objection. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (stating that the district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate”).

1 **IT IS ORDERED** that Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge,
2 (Doc. 54), is accepted. Petitioner’s “Notice of deteriorating medical condition and
3 request for relief,” (Doc. 34), is **DENIED**.

4 Dated this 18th day of March, 2016.

5
6
7
8 
9 _____
 Douglas L. Rayes
 United States District Judge

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28