




1 (1985) (“[Section 636(b)(1)] does not... require any review at all . . . of any issue that is  
2 not the subject of an objection.”); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3) (“The district judge must  
3 determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge’s disposition that has been properly  
4 objected to.”). The Court has nonetheless reviewed the R&R and finds that it is well-  
5 taken. The Court will adopt the R&R and dismiss this action. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)  
6 (stating that the district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the  
7 findings or recommendations made by the magistrate”); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3) (“The  
8 district judge may accept, reject, or modify the recommended disposition; receive further  
9 evidence; or return the matter to the magistrate judge with instructions.”). Accordingly,

10 **IT IS ORDERED:**

- 11 1. That Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation (Doc. 25) is **accepted**  
12 and **adopted** by the Court;
- 13 2. That this action is **dismissed without prejudice**;
- 14 3. That the reference to Magistrate Judge Bridget S. Bade is **withdrawn**; and
- 15 4. That the Clerk of Court shall **terminate** this action.

16 Dated this 6th day of May, 2016.

17   
18 Honorable Steven P. Logan  
19 United States District Judge

20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28