1

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Francisco Martinez Medina,

Petitioner,

v.

Charles L. Ryan, et al.,

Respondents.

No. CV-15-01033-PHX-ROS

**ORDER** 

On August 29, 2016, Magistrate Judge Eileen S. Willett issued a Report and Recommendation ("R&R") recommending the petition for writ of habeas corpus be denied. (Doc. 14.) No objections were filed.

A district judge "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). Where any party has filed timely objections to the R&R, the district court's review of the part objected to must be de novo. Id. If, however, no objections are filed, the district court need not conduct such a review. Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003). No objections having been filed, the R&R will be adopted in full.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED the Report and Recommendation (Doc. 14) is ADOPTED and the petition for writ of habeas corpus is **DENIED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED a Certificate of Appealability and leave to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal are **DENIED** because the dismissal of the petition is justified

by a plain procedural bar and jurists of reason would not find the procedural ruling debatable.

Dated this 26th day of October, 2016.

Honorable Roslyn O. Silver Senior United States District Judge