1 2

\_

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America,

Plaintiff/Respondent,

vs.

Ismael Zunun-Morales,

No. CV-15-01599-PHX-SPL)

(No. CR-14-00863-PHX-SPL)

ORDER

Defendant/Movant.

Movant Ismael Zunun-Morales has filed a Motion to Vacate, Set Aside, or Correct Sentence by a Person in Federal Custody pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 ("Motion") (Doc. 1). On September 2, 2016, the Honorable Deborah M. Fine, United States Magistrate Judge, issued a Report and Recommendation ("R&R"), recommending that the Court deny the Motion. Judge Fine advised the parties that they had fourteen (14) days to file objections to the R&R and that failure to file timely objections could be considered a waiver of the right to obtain review of the R&R. (Doc. 5 at 8-9); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 6, 72; *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003).

The parties did not file objections, which relieves the Court of its obligation to review the R&R. *See Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d at 1121; *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) ("[Section 636(b)(1)] does not... require any review at all... of any issue that is not the subject of an objection."); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3) ("The district judge must determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge's disposition that has been properly objected to."). The Court has nonetheless reviewed the R&R and finds that it is well-

Doc. 6

1	taken. The Court will adopt the R&R and deny the Motion. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)
2	(stating that the district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the
3	findings or recommendations made by the magistrate"); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3) ("The
4	district judge may accept, reject, or modify the recommended disposition; receive further
5	evidence; or return the matter to the magistrate judge with instructions."). Accordingly,
6	IT IS ORDERED:
7	1. That Magistrate Judge Fine's Report and Recommendation (Doc. 5) is
8	accepted and adopted by the Court;
9	2. That the Motion to Vacate, Set Aside, or Correct Sentence by a Person in
10	Federal Custody pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (Doc. 1) is <b>denied</b> and this case is
11	dismissed with prejudice;
12	3. That a certificate of appealability and leave to proceed in forma pauperis on
13	appeal are <b>denied</b> because Movant has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a
14	constitutional right;
15	4. That the Clerk of Court shall file this Order in the underlying related criminal
16	action, Case No. CR-14-00863-PHX-SPL; and
17	5. That the Clerk of Court shall <b>terminate</b> this action.
18	Dated this 5th day of October, 2016.
19	At Ton
20	Honorable Steven P. Løgan United States District Judge
21	United States District saage
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	