1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
8	Martin Recio, No. CV-15-01689-PHX-SPL
9	) ) Datition on
10	VS. Petitioner, ORDER
11	Unknown Party, at al
12	Unknown Party, et al.,
13	Respondents.
14	)
15	Petitioner Martin Recio has filed a Second Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas
16	Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (Doc. 11). The Honorable John Z. Boyle, United
17	States Magistrate Judge, issued a Report and Recommendation ("R&R") (Doc. 25),
18	recommending that the Court deny the Petition. Judge Boyle advised the parties that they
19	had fourteen (14) days to file objections to the R&R and that failure to file timely
20	objections could be considered a waiver of the right to obtain review of the R&R. (Id.);
21	28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 6, 72; United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d
22	1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003).
23	The parties did not file objections, which relieves the Court of its obligation to
24	review the R&R. See Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d at 1121; Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149
25	(1985) ("[Section 636(b)(1)] does not require any review at all of any issue that is
26	not the subject of an objection."); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3) ("The district judge must
27	determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge's disposition that has been properly
28	objected to."). The Court has nonetheless reviewed the R&R and finds that it is well-

1	taken. The Court will adopt the R&R and deny the Petition. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)
2	(stating that the district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the
3	findings or recommendations made by the magistrate"); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3) ("The
4	district judge may accept, reject, or modify the recommended disposition; receive further
5	evidence; or return the matter to the magistrate judge with instructions."). Accordingly,
6	IT IS ORDERED:
7	1. That Magistrate Judge John Z. Boyle's Report and Recommendation (Doc.
8	25) is <b>accepted</b> and <b>adopted</b> by the Court;
9	2. That the Second Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to
10	28 U.S.C. § 2254 (Doc. 11) is denied and this action is dismissed with prejudice;
11	3. That a certificate of appealability and leave to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> on
12	appeal are <b>denied</b> ; and
13	4. That the Clerk of Court shall <b>terminate</b> this action.
14	Dated this 8th day of November, 2016.
15	At In
16	Honorable Steven P. Løgan
17	United States District Judge
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	