

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Oscar Nester,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
Charles L. Ryan, et al.,  
  
Defendants.

No. CV-15-01700-PHX-SMM  
**ORDER**

Pending before the Court is Plaintiff’s Motion for Extension of Time to Prepare His Motion for Leave to File a First Amended Complaint (Doc. 10); and Plaintiff’s Motion for Leave of the Court to File a First Amended Complaint (“FAC”) (Doc. 11). Magistrate Judge Deborah M. Fine performed a screen on Plaintiff’s complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). On January 21, 2016, the Magistrate Judge filed a Report and Recommendation with this Court . (Doc. 15.) To date, no objections have been filed.

**STANDARD OF REVIEW**

The Court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); see Baxter v. Sullivan, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 1991). Parties have fourteen days from the service of a copy of the Magistrate’s recommendation within which to file specific written objections to the Court. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 6, 72. Failure to object to a Magistrate Judge’s recommendation relieves the Court of conducting *de novo* review of the Magistrate Judge’s factual findings and waives all objections to those

1 findings on appeal. See Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998). A failure  
2 to object to a Magistrate Judge’s conclusion “is a factor to be weighed in considering the  
3 propriety of finding waiver of an issue on appeal.” Id.

4  
5 **DISCUSSION**

6 Having reviewed the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, and no  
7 Objections having been made by any party thereto, the Court hereby incorporates and  
8 adopts the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation.

9  
10 **CONCLUSION**

11 Accordingly, for the reasons set forth,

12 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Court adopts the Report and  
13 Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. (Doc. 15.)

14 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff’s Motion for Extension of Time to  
15 Prepare His Motion for Leave to File a First Amended Complaint as a Matter of Course  
16 (Doc. 10) be **denied**.

17 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff’s Motion for Leave of the Court to  
18 File a First Amended Complaint (Doc. 11) be **granted**, and that Plaintiff be allowed to  
19 file his FAC. Plaintiff shall file his FAC by **February 26, 2016**.

20 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Defendants Charles Ryan and Corizon Health  
21 shall answer Counts I and II of the FAC, but not Count V as it is duplicative. Defendant  
22 Dr. Arlene McKamey shall answer Count III of the FAC, but not Count V as it is  
23 duplicative. Defendant Dr. Michael Hegmann shall answer Count IV of the FAC, but not  
24 Count V as it is duplicative. Defendants Dr. Mulhen and Kerry Byrd shall answer Count  
25 V of the FAC.

26 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Defendants Wexford Health Sources, Inc.

27 ///

28 ///

1 and Assistant FHA Howley be dismissed.

2 Dated this 9th day of February, 2016.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23


24

25

26

27

28



Honorable Stephen M. McNamee  
Senior United States District Judge