

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Scott Warren Boe,

 Plaintiff,

vs.

Charles L. Ryan, et al.,

 Defendants.

No. CV-15-02479-PHX-SPL (DMF)

ORDER

On April 28, 2016, Plaintiff Scott Warren Boe filed a Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Pleading (Doc. 38), in which he requests permission to file a lodged second amended complaint. On July 6, 2016, the Honorable Deborah M. Fine, United States Magistrate Judge, issued a Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) (Doc. 45), recommending that the Court deny the motion. Judge Fine advised Plaintiff that he had fourteen (14) days to file objections to the R&R and that failure to file timely objections could be considered a waiver of the right to obtain review of the R&R. *See also* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 6, 72; *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003).


No timely objection has been filed, which relieves the Court of its obligation to review the R&R. *See Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d at 1121; *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) (“[Section 636(b)(1)] does not . . . require any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.”); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3) (“The district judge must determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge’s disposition that has been properly

1 objected to.”). The Court has nonetheless reviewed the R&R and finds that it is well-
2 taken. The Court will adopt the R&R and deny the motion. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)
3 (stating that the district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the
4 findings or recommendations made by the magistrate”); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3) (“The
5 district judge may accept, reject, or modify the recommended disposition; receive further
6 evidence; or return the matter to the magistrate judge with instructions.”). Accordingly,

7 **IT IS ORDERED** that Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation (Doc. 45)
8 is **accepted** and **adopted** by the Court.

9 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Motion for Leave to File Supplemental
10 Pleading (Doc. 38) is **denied**.

11 Dated this 26th day of July, 2016.

12 
13 Honorable Steven P. Logan
14 United States District Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28