

1 WO
2
3
4
5

6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**
8

9 Tahiru Bashir,

10 Petitioner,

11 v.

12 Michael Donahue, et al.,

13 Respondents.
14

No. CV-16-01837-PHX-JAT

ORDER

15 Pending before this Court is Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed
16 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The Magistrate Judge to whom this case was assigned
17 issued a Report and Recommendation ("R&R"), recommending that the Petition be
18 denied. (Doc. 18). Neither party has filed objections to the R&R.

19 The Court hereby accepts the R&R. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985)
20 (finding that district courts are not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue
21 that is not the subject of an objection" (emphasis added)); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*,
22 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (*en banc*) ("statute makes it clear that the district
23 judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de novo *if*
24 *objection is made*, but not otherwise" (emphasis in original)); *see also Schmidt v.*
25 *Johnstone*, 263 F.Supp.2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003).


26 Therefore,

27 **IT IS ORDERED** that the R&R (Doc. 18) is accepted and adopted. The Petition
28 is denied and dismissed without prejudice and the Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment

1 accordingly.¹

2 Dated this 28th day of October, 2016.

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27



28 ¹ No certificate of appealability is required. *See Forde v. U.S. Parole Comm'n*,
114 F.3d 878, 879 (9th Cir. 1997).