1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
8	Mark-Anthony Curtis, ) No. CV-16-04121-PHX-SPL
9	Petitioner, ORDER
10	vs. ORDER
11 12	Charles L. Ryan, et al.,
12	Respondents.
13	
15	Petitioner Mark-Anthony Curtis has filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus
16	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (Doc. 1). The Honorable John Z. Boyle, United States
17	Magistrate Judge, issued a Report and Recommendation ("R&R") (Doc. 20),
18	recommending that the Court deny the Petition. Judge Boyle advised the parties that they
19	had fourteen (14) days to file objections to the R&R and that failure to file timely
20	objections could be considered a waiver of the right to obtain review of the R&R. (Doc.
21	20) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 6, 72; United States v. Reyna-Tapia,
22	328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003)). Petitioner requested extensions of time to file an
23	objection, which the Court granted, setting May 4, 2018 as the deadline. (Docs. 23, 26,
24	29.)
25	To date, no party has filed an objection, which relieves the Court of its obligation
26	to review the R&R. See Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d at 1121; Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140,
27	149 (1985) ("[Section 636(b)(1)] does not require any review at all of any issue
28	that is not the subject of an objection."); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3) ("The district judge

1	must determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge's disposition that has been
2	properly objected to."). The Court has nonetheless reviewed the R&R and finds that it is
3	well-taken. The Court will adopt the R&R and deny the Petition. See 28 U.S.C. §
4	636(b)(1) (stating that the district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in
5	part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate"); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3)
6	("The district judge may accept, reject, or modify the recommended disposition; receive
7	further evidence; or return the matter to the magistrate judge with instructions.").
8	Accordingly,
9	IT IS ORDERED:
10	1. That Magistrate Judge Boyle's Report and Recommendation (Doc. 20) is
11	accepted and adopted by the Court;
12	2. That the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254
13	(Doc. 1) is <b>denied</b> and <b>dismissed with prejudice</b> ;
14	3. That a certificate of appealability and leave to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> on
15	appeal are <b>denied</b> ; and
16	4. That the Clerk of Court shall <b>terminate</b> this action.
17	Dated this 10th day of May, 2018.
18	
19	Abt. Jogan
20	Honorable Steven P. Løgan United States District Jadge
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	