Gaybor v	. USA
----------	-------

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
8		
9	Christian Eduardo Gaybor,	No. CV-16-04598-PHX-SMM No. CR-01-01136-PHX-SMM
10	Petitioner,	ORDER
11	v.	ORDER
12	USA,	
13	Respondent.	
14		
15	Pending before the Court is Petitioner's Amended Motion to Vacate, Set Aside, or	
16	Correct Sentence Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255. (Doc. 10.) The matter was referred to Magistrate	
17	Judge Deborah M. Fine for a Report and Recommendation. (Doc. 11.) On May 9, 2018,	
18	the Magistrate Judge filed a Report and Recommendation with this Court. (Doc. 19.) To	
19	date, no objections have been filed.	
20	STANDARD OF REVIEW	
21	The Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or	
22	recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); see Baxter v.	
23	Sullivan, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 1991). Parties have fourteen days from the service	
24	of a copy of the Magistrate's recommendation within which to file specific written	
25	objections to the Court. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 6, 72. Failure to object to a	
26	Magistrate Judge's recommendation relieves the Court of conducting de novo review of	
27	the Magistrate Judge's factual findings and waives all objections to those findings on	
28	appeal. See Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 45	55 (9th Cir. 1998). A failure to object to a

1	Magistrate Judge's conclusion "is a factor to be weighed in considering the propriety of		
2	finding waiver of an issue on appeal." <u>Id.</u>		
3	DISCUSSION		
4	In reviewing the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, the Court finds		
5	that it is exceedingly well written and organized and provides an extensive and thorough		
6	analysis of the issues presented. Thus, having reviewed the Report and Recommendation		
7	of the Magistrate Judge, and no Objections having been made by any party thereto, the		
8	Court hereby incorporates and adopts the Magistrate Judge's thorough and well-reasoned		
9	Report and Recommendation.		
10	CONCLUSION		
11	Accordingly, for the reasons set forth,		
12	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Court adopts the Report and		
13	Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. (Doc. 19.)		
14	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Motion to Vacate, Set Aside, or Correct		
15	Sentence Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (Doc. 363 in CR-01-01136-PHX-SMM and Doc. 10 in		
16	CV-16-04598-PHX-SMM) be denied and the civil action opened in connection with this		
17	Motion (CV-16-04598-PHX-SMM) be dismissed with prejudice.		
18	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a Certificate of Appealability be denied		
19	because jurists of reason would not find the district court's assessment of the constitutional		
20	claims debatable or wrong.		
21	Dated this 19th day of September, 2018.		
22			
23	Atistica he have		
24	Honorable Stephen M. McNamee		
25	Senior United States District Judge		
26			
27			
28			
	- 2 -		