

1 WO
2
3
4
5

6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**
8

9 Touche Jamar Pouncey,
10 Plaintiff,

No. CV-17-00723-PHX-JAT

ORDER

11 v.

12 Maricopa County Sheriff's Office, et al.,
13 Defendants.
14

15 It appears the Marshals have completed service on the only remaining defendant in
16 this case: Jorge Espinoza. (*See* Doc. 25). Because service has been completed,

17 **IT IS ORDERED** that, the Court finding good cause,¹ the time to serve the
18 complaint is extended such that the service filed at Doc. 25 is deemed to be timely.

19 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this Court's August 1, 2017 Order to Show
20 cause is deemed to have been satisfied by Plaintiff and this Court will not dismiss this
21 case.

22 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the R&R (Doc. 13) recommending that this
23 case be dismissed for failure to timely serve is rejected.

24 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's various pending motions regarding
25 completing service (Docs. 22, 23 and 24) are all denied as moot.


26 **IT IS FINALLY ORDERED** that this matter is referred to Magistrate Judge
27

28 ¹ The Court finds Plaintiff's error in listing Defendant's employee number as Defendant's badge number to be a good faith mistake that is good cause for giving Plaintiff an extension of time to re-attempt service. (*See* Doc. 22).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Bridget S. Bade pursuant to Rules 72.1 and 72.2 of the Local Rules of Civil Procedure for all pretrial proceedings as authorized under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Dated this 11th day of September, 2017.



James A. Teilborg
Senior United States District Judge