

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Edgar Contreras,	}	No. CV-17-00923-PHX-SPL
Petitioner,	}	<b>ORDER</b>
vs.	}	
Charles Ryan, et al.,	}	
Respondents.	}	

---

Petitioner Edgar Contreras has filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (Doc. 1). The Honorable Eileen S. Willett, United States Magistrate Judge, issued a Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) (Doc. 26), recommending that the Court deny the Petition. Judge Willett advised the parties that they had fourteen (14) days to file objections to the R&R and that failure to file timely objections could be considered a waiver of the right to obtain review of the R&R. *Id.* at 8 (citing 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 6, 72; *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003)).

The parties did not file objections, which relieves the Court of its obligation to review the R&R. *See Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d at 1121; *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) (“[Section 636(b)(1)] does not . . . require any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.”); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3) (“The district judge must determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge’s disposition that has been properly objected to.”). The Court has nonetheless reviewed the R&R and finds that it is well-taken. The Court will adopt the R&R and deny the Petition. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (stating

1 that the district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or  
2 recommendations made by the magistrate”); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3) (“The district judge  
3 may accept, reject, or modify the recommended disposition; receive further evidence; or  
4 return the matter to the magistrate judge with instructions.”). Accordingly,

5 **IT IS ORDERED:**


6 1. That Magistrate Judge Willett’s Report and Recommendation (Doc. 26) is  
7 **accepted** and **adopted** by the Court;

8 2. That the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254  
9 (Doc. 1) is **denied** and **dismissed with prejudice**;

10 3. That a certificate of appealability and leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* on  
11 appeal are **denied**; and

12 4. That the Clerk of Court shall **terminate** this action.

13 Dated this 20th day of November, 2018.

14  
15  
16  
17   
18 Honorable Steven P. Logan  
United States District Judge  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28