1	WO	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
8		
9	Walter C Goedecke,	No. CV-17-1555-PHX-JAT (ESW)
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER
11	V.	
12	Lawrence Ende, et al.,	
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate	
16	Judge recommending that Defendant Barnett be dismissed, without prejudice, due to	
17	Plaintiff's failure to timely serve Defendant Barnett. (Doc. 19).	
18	Neither party has filed objections to the Report and Recommendation.	
19	Accordingly, the Court hereby accepts the R&R. See Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149	
20	(1985) (finding that district courts are not required to conduct "any review at all of	
21	any issue that is not the subject of an objection" (emphasis added)); United States v.	
22	Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) ("statute makes it clear that	
23	the district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de	
24	novo <i>if objection is made</i> , but not otherwise" (emphasis in original)); see also Schmidt v.	
25	Johnstone, 263 F.Supp.2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003).	
26	Therefore,	
27	IT IS ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation (Doc. 19) is accepted and	
28	adopted.	

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant Barnett is dismissed, without prejudice. Because other Defendants remain in this case, the Clerk of the Court shall not enter judgment at this time. Dated this 13th day of March, 2018. James A. Teilborg Senior United States District Judge