Khaimov v. Lucero et al	Doc. 16
1	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

9 Lyudvig Khaimov,

No. CV-17-02249-PHX-GMS

Petitioner,

ORDER

11 v

12 | Enrique M Lucero, et al.,

Respondents.

Pending before the Court are Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Doc. 1) and United States Magistrate Judge Eileen S. Willett's Report and Recommendation ("R&R"), which recommends dismissal of the Petition. (Doc. 14.) The R&R recommends that the Court dismiss the Petition without prejudice. (Doc. 14 at 2.) The Magistrate Judge advised the parties that they had fourteen days to file objections to the R&R and that failure to file timely objections could be considered a waiver of the right to obtain review of the R&R. *Id.* at 2-3 (citing 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 6, 72; *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003)).

The parties did not file objections, which relieves the Court of its obligation to review the R&R. *See Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d at 1121; *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) ("[Section 636(b)(1)] does not . . . require any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection."); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3) ("The district judge must determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge's disposition that has been properly objected to."). The Court will accept the R&R and dismiss the Petition without

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28

prejudice. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (stating that the district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate"); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3) ("The district judge may accept, reject, or modify the recommended disposition; receive further evidence; or return the matter to the magistrate judge with instructions.").

IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. Magistrate Judge Willett's R&R (Doc. 14) is **ACCEPTED**.
- 2. Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Doc. 1) is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.
 - 3. The Clerk of Court shall **TERMINATE** this action.
- 4. Because this case arises under 28 U.S.C. § 2241, no ruling on a certificate of appealability is required.

Dated this 25th day of May, 2018.

Honorable G. Murray Snow United States District Judge