1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
8		
9	Royston Joe Tom,	No. CV-17-02731-PHX-JJT (ESW)
10	Petitioner,	ORDER
11	V.	
12	Charles Ryan, et al.,	
13	Respondents.	
14	At issue is the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") (Doc. 11) submitted by	
15	United States Magistrate Judge Eileen S. Willett recommending that the Court deny and	
16	dismiss the Petition under 28 U.S.C. 2254 for a Writ of Habeas Corpus (Doc. 1) as	
17	untimely. Petitioner had fourteen days from the entry of the R&R to file any objections	
18	thereto. He filed none and the time to do so elapsed more than ten months ago. The Court	
19	therefore may accept the R&R and its recommendations without further review. United	
20	States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003). The Court nonetheless	
21	reviewed the petition on its merits, and in doing so, confirms that Judge Willett's analysis	
22	and conclusions are correct.	
23	Petitioner's conviction became final for purposes of habeas review June 1, 2016.	
24	Thereafter he had one year, or until June 1, 2017, to file a petition. He did not file his	
25	Petition until August 11, 2017—six weeks late. Petitioner failed to satisfy the requirements	
26	of equitable tolling and did not raise allegations of actual innocence for purposes of Schlup	
27	gateway analysis. His Petition is therefore untimely without cognizable excuse.	
28		

1	IT IS ORDERED adopting in whole the R&R issued by Judge Willett (Doc. 11) and
2	denying and dismissing as untimely the Petition under 28 U.S.C. 2254 for a Writ of Habeas
3	Corpus (Doc. 1).
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED denying a certificate of appealability and leave to
5	proceed on appeal in forma pauperis. Dismissal of this action is justified by a plain
6	procedural bar.
7	Dated this 24th day of June, 2019.
8	com d. Inchi
9	Honorable John J. Tuchi United States District Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
24 25	
23 26	
20 27	
28	
_0	