

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

WO

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Carlton J Lewis,
Plaintiff,
v.
Corizon Health Incorporated, et al.,
Defendants.

No. CV-17-04441-PHX-DLR (CDB)

ORDER

Before the Court are Plaintiff Carlton J Lewis’ Motion to Amend/ Correct Complaint (Doc. 26) along with United States Magistrate Judge Camille D. Bibles’ Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) (Doc. 34). The R&R recommends that the Court deny Plaintiff’s motion. The Magistrate Judge advised the parties that they had fourteen days to file objections to the R&R and that failure to file timely objections could be considered a waiver of the right to obtain review of the R&R. See United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003). Neither party filed objections, which relieves the Court of its obligation to review the R&R. See Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d at 1121; Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) (“[Section 636(b)(1)] does not . . . require any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.”); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3) (“The district judge must determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge’s disposition that has been properly objected to.”). “Unless this court has definite and firm conviction that the [Magistrate Judge] committed a clear error of judgment, [this court] will not disturb [the]


1 decision.” Jackson v. Bank of Hawaii, 902 F.2d 1385, 1387 (9th Cir. 1990) (citation
2 omitted).

3 The Court has nonetheless independently reviewed the R&R and finds that it is well-
4 taken. The Court therefore will accept the R&R in its entirety. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)
5 (stating that the district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the
6 findings or recommendations made by the magistrate”); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3) (“The
7 district judge may accept, reject, or modify the recommended disposition; receive further
8 evidence; or return the matter to the magistrate judge with instructions.”).

9 **IT IS ORDERED** that Magistrate Judge Bibles’ R&R (Doc. 34) is **ACCEPTED**.
10 Plaintiff’s Motion to Amend/Correct Complaint (Doc. 26) is **DENIED**.

11 Dated this 20th day of November, 2019.

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



Douglas L. Rayes
United States District Judge