

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Ernest DuWayne King,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
Corizon Incorporated, et al.,  
Defendants.

**NO. CV-18-01187-PHX-MTL (CDB)**

**ORDER**

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation (Doc. 33) from the Magistrate Judge recommending that the Motion to Amend the Complaint (Doc. 30) be denied. No objections have been received.

Accordingly, the Court hereby accepts the Report and Recommendation. See *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) (finding that district courts are not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection”; see also *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (“statute makes it clear that the district judge must review the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo if objection is made, but not otherwise”) (emphasis in original).


///  
///  
///  
///

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Based on the foregoing,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (Doc 33) is **ACCEPTED.** Petitioner's Motion to Amend the Complaint (Doc. 30) is denied.

Dated this 1st day of October, 2019.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael T. Liburdi  
United States District Judge