

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Jeffrey Wayne Harmon,
Petitioner,
v.
Charles L Ryan, et al.,
Respondents.

No. CV-18-01252-PHX-RM (LAB)
ORDER

On January 29, 2019, Magistrate Judge Leslie A. Bowman issued a Report and Recommendation (Doc. 21), recommending that this Court deny Petitioner Jeffrey Wayne Harmon’s Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. No objections to the Report and Recommendation were filed.

A district judge must “make a de novo determination of those portions” of a magistrate judge’s “report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The advisory committee’s notes to Rule 72(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure state that, “[w]hen no timely objection is filed, the court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation” of a magistrate judge. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) advisory committee’s note to 1983 addition. *See also Johnson v. Zema Sys. Corp.*, 170 F.3d 734, 739 (7th Cir. 1999) (“If no objection or only partial objection is made, the district court judge reviews those unobjected portions for clear error.”); *Prior v. Ryan*, CV 10-225-TUC-RCC, 2012 WL 1344286, at *1 (D. Ariz. Apr. 18, 2012) (reviewing for clear error

1 unobjected-to portions of Report and Recommendation).

2 The Court has reviewed Judge Bowman's Report and Recommendation, the parties'
3 briefs, and the record. The Court finds no error in Judge Bowman's Report and
4 Recommendation. Accordingly,


5 **IT IS ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (Doc. 21) is **accepted and**
6 **adopted in full.**

7 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28
8 U.S.C. § 2254 (Doc. 1) is **denied**. The Clerk of Court is directed to enter judgment
9 accordingly and close this case.

10 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, pursuant to Rule 11 of the Rules Governing
11 Section 2254 Cases, the Court declines to issue a certificate of appealability, because
12 reasonable jurists would not find the Court's ruling debatable. *See Slack v. McDaniel*, 529
13 U.S. 473, 478, 484 (2000).

14 Dated this 27th day of February, 2019.

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



Honorable Rosemary Márquez
United States District Judge