

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

WO

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Samuel Petrie Martinez,
Petitioner,
v.
Jefferson B Sessions, III, et al.,
Respondents.

No. CV-18-02261-PHX-JAT

ORDER

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) from the Magistrate Judge recommending that the Petition in this case be denied. (Doc. 14). Neither party has filed objections to the R&R.

The Court hereby accepts the R&R. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) (finding that district courts are not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection” (emphasis added)); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (*en banc*) (“statute makes it clear that the district judge must review the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not otherwise” (emphasis in original)); *see also Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F.Supp.2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003).

Based on the foregoing,
///
///
///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation (Doc. 14) is accepted; the Petition in this case is denied and dismissed with prejudice and the Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment accordingly.

Dated this 9th day of January, 2019.

