

1 WO

2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**  
8

9 Jonathan M Arther,  
10 Plaintiff,

No. CV-20-00189-PHX-JAT (JFM)

**ORDER**

11 v.


12 Corizon Health Incorporated, et al.,  
13 Defendants.  
14

15 In the Scheduling Order filed April 19, 2021, Plaintiff was given through May 17,  
16 2021 to either dismiss, serve, or show cause why Defendants Ryan, Heller and Eye  
17 Doctors should not be dismissed for failure to timely serve. Plaintiff then completed  
18 service on Heller and Eye Doctors. Plaintiff neither dismissed, served, nor shown cause  
19 why Ryan should not be dismissed. Plaintiff had in the interim filed his Fourth Amended  
20 Complaint (Doc. 66). However, the filing of an amended complaint does not reset the  
21 time for service, except as to newly added defendants. *See Wright & Miller, Time Limit*  
22 *for Service*, 4B Fed. Prac. & Proc. Civ. § 1137, at text surrounding nn. 38 & 39 (4th ed.).

23 Accordingly, in its Order filed June 23, 2021 (Doc. 67), the Court ordered Plaintiff  
24 to file a memorandum showing cause why Defendant Ryan should not be dismissed  
25 without prejudice for failure to effect timely service. Plaintiff has not responded.  
26 Dismissal of a party is appropriate where a plaintiff fails to show good cause for delays in  
27 service. *See Walker v. Sumner*, 14 F.3d 1415 (9th Cir. 1994) (upholding dismissal where  
28 no showing of good cause for delay in service).

1           **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED**, pursuant to Rule 4(m), Defendant Ryan is  
2 DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

3           Dated this 15th day of July, 2021.

4  
5  
6  
7             
8           \_\_\_\_\_  
9           James A. Teilborg  
10           Senior United States District Judge  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28