$\|$ 

4	
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	WO
$\begin{bmatrix} 2\\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	
3	
4	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
8	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
9	Cordell Glen Jones, No. CV-23-02182-PHX-JAT
10	Petitioner, ORDER
11	V.
12	Unknown Party,
13	Respondent.
14	
15	Pending before the Court is Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed
16	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The Magistrate Judge to whom this case was assigned issued
17	a Report and Recommendation ("R&R") recommending that the Petition be dismissed
18	without prejudice. (Doc. 13). Neither party has objected to the R&R and the time for filing
19	objections has run.
20	This Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or
21	recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). It is "clear that
22	the district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de
23	novo if objection is made, but not otherwise." United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d
24	1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (emphasis in original); Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263
25	F.Supp.2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) ("Following Reyna-Tapia, this Court concludes that
26	de novo review of factual and legal issues is required if objections are made, 'but not
27	otherwise.""); Klamath Siskiyou Wildlands Ctr. v. U.S. Bureau of Land Mgmt., 589 F.3d
28	1027, 1032 (9th Cir. 2009) (the district court "must review de novo the portions of the

1	[Magistrate Judge's] recommendations to which the parties object."). District courts are
2	not required to conduct "any review at all of any issue that is not the subject of an
3	objection." Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) (emphasis added); see also 28 U.S.C.
4	§ 636(b)(1) ("the court shall make a <i>de novo</i> determination of those portions of the [report
5	and recommendation] to which objection is made.").
6	There being no objections,
7	IT IS ORDERED that the R&R (Doc. 13) is accepted.
8	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Petition in this case is dismissed, without
9	prejudice, and the Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment accordingly.
10	IT IS FINALLY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall sent a copy of this
11	Order and the Judgment to Petitioner at the address listed in the docket and the address
12	listed in the R&R. (Doc. 13 at 7).
13	Dated this 25th day of October, 2024.
14	
15	O M.H
16	James A. Teilborg
17	Senior United States District Judge
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	