

1 **WO**

2
3
4
5
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**
8

9 Prudential Insurance Company of America,

No. CV-24-02706-PHX-KML

10 Plaintiff,

ORDER

11 v.

12 Dylan Houser, et al.,

13 Defendants.
14

15 Plaintiff Prudential Insurance Company states it “has limited information on the
16 competence of Defendant Dylan Houser.” (Doc. 6 at 1.) Prudential plans to attempt service
17 of process on Dylan by sending a process server to the State Hospital. (Doc. 6 at 2.) Without
18 addressing whether that service will be proper, Prudential may attempt such service.

19 Prudential states it “named Joyce Houser as a defendant . . . in her sole capacity as
20 guardian of minor J.D.” (Doc. 6 at 2.) Despite that, Prudential then states it has “named
21 both Joyce Houser and J.D. as defendants.” (Doc. 6 at 2.) Given that she is not being named
22 in any capacity other than as guardian of J.D., Joyce Houser will not be listed as a separate
23 defendant.

24 /

25 /

26 /

27 /


28 /

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED Prudential shall submit, and the Clerk of Court shall issue, new summons in the names of 1) Dylan Houser; and 2) Joyce Houser, solely in her capacity as guardian of J.D.

Dated this 23rd day of October, 2024.



Honorable Krissa M. Lanham
United States District Judge