

1 **WO**

2

3

4

5

6

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

7

8

9

United States of America, )

No. 10-CV-8098-PCT-PGR

10

Plaintiff, )

vs. )

**ORDER**

11

Lesli M. Walzak a.k.a. Lesli M. Griffen, )

12

Defendant. )

13

14

15

Before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment. (Doc. 11.) Having reviewed the entire record, the Court finds that Plaintiff's moving papers are sufficient to support a motion for summary judgment and do not reveal a genuine issue of material fact. (*Id.*, see Docs. 12, 15.) The Court further finds that Plaintiff's motion should be granted pursuant to Local Rule 7.2(i), based on Defendant's failure to file an answering memorandum. *See Brydges v. Lewis*, 18 F.3d 651 (9th Cir. 1994).

21

Accordingly,

22


IT IS ORDERED granting Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. 11). This action is dismissed in its entirety. The Clerk of Court shall enter judgment accordingly.

24

DATED this 21<sup>st</sup> day of June, 2011.

25

26



27

Paul G. Rosenblatt  
United States District Judge